National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Stormwater Program

MS4 Annual Report Format

Check box if you are submitting an individual Annual Report with one or more cooperative program elements. ☐

Check box if you are submitting an individual Annual Report with individual program elements only. ☐

Check box if this is a new name, address, etc. ☐

1. MS4(s) Information

New Mexico State University
Name of MS4

Karl | Dykman | Hazardous Materials Specialist
Name of Contact Person (First) (Last) (Title)

575 646-3327 | k3dykman@nmsu.edu
Telephone (including area code) E-mail

PO Box 30001 / Dept 3578
Mailing Address

Las Cruces | New Mexico | 88003-8001
City State ZIP code

What size population does your MS4(s) serve? 20,318 NPDES number NMR04L002

What is the reporting period for this report? (mm/dd/yyyy) From July 1, 2025 to June 30, 2026

2. Water Quality Priorities

A. Does your MS4(s) discharge to waters listed as impaired on a state 303(d) list? ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. If yes, identify each impaired water, the impairment, whether a TMDL has been approved by EPA for each, and whether the TMDL assigns a wasteload allocation to your MS4(s). Use a new line for each impairment, and attach additional pages as necessary.

Impaired Water	Impairment	Approved TMDL		TMDL assigns WLA to MS4	
		☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Yes	☐ No
		☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Yes	☐ No
		☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Yes	☐ No
		☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Yes	☐ No

2. B. Continued

Impaired Water	Impairment	Approved TMDL	TMDL assigns WLA to MS4
		☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
		☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No

C. What specific sources contributing to the impairment(s) are you targeting in your stormwater program?

Not applicable (do not discharge to 303(d) waters)

D. Do you discharge to any high-quality waters (e.g., Tier 2, Tier 3, outstanding natural resource waters, or other state or federal designation)? ☐ Yes ☒ No

E. Are you implementing additional specific provisions to ensure their continued integrity? ☐ Yes ☒ No

3. Public Education and Public Participation

A. Is your public education program targeting specific pollutants and sources of those pollutants? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. If yes, what are the specific sources and/or pollutants addressed by your public education program?

Floatables/debris, Illicit discharges, Household Haz. waste, Grass clippings, Pet waste, Pollution Prevention

C. Note specific successful outcome(s) (e.g., quantified reduction in fertilizer use; NOT tasks, events, publications) fully or partially attributable to your public education program during this reporting period.

In general, the more outreach and education on the issues of pollution prevention and reduction that happens, the more pollution reduction occurs

D. Do you have an advisory committee or other body comprised of the public and other stakeholders that provides regular input on your stormwater program? ☐ Yes ☒ No

4. Construction

A. Do you have an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism stipulating:

Erosion and sediment control requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Other construction waste control requirements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Requirement to submit construction plans for review? ☒ Yes ☐ No

MS4 enforcement authority? ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Do you have written procedures for:

Reviewing construction plans? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Performing inspections? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Responding to violations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

C. Identify the number of active construction sites ≥ 1 acre in operation in your jurisdiction at any time during the reporting period. 9

D. How many of the sites identified in 4.C did you inspect during this reporting period? 9

E. Describe, on average, the frequency with which your program conducts construction site inspections.

Twice per month, also within 24 hours of qualifying precipitation event (0.25 inches or greater)

F. Do you prioritize certain construction sites for more frequent inspections? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, based on what criteria?

G. Identify which of the following types of enforcement actions you used during the reporting period for construction activities, indicate the number of actions, or note those for which you do not have authority:

☒ Yes	Notice of violation	12	No Authority	☐
☐ Yes	Administrative fines		No Authority	☒
☒ Yes	Stop Work Orders	0	No Authority	☐
☐ Yes	Civil penalties		No Authority	☒
☐ Yes	Criminal actions		No Authority	☒
☐ Yes	Administrative orders		No Authority	☒
☐ Yes	Other			

H. Do you use an electronic tool (e.g., GIS, data base, spreadsheet) to track the locations, inspection results, and enforcement actions of active construction sites in your jurisdiction? ☐ Yes ☒ No

I. What are the 3 most common types of violations documented during this reporting period?

1) Inadequate perimeter controls, 2) Sediment track-out, 3) Poor concrete washout practices

J. How often do municipal employees receive training on the construction program? Annually

5. Illicit Discharge Elimination

A. Have you completed a map of all outfalls and receiving waters of your storm sewer system? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Have you completed a map of all storm drain pipes and other conveyances in the storm sewer system? ☒ Yes ☐ No

C. Identify the number of outfalls in your storm sewer system. 38

D. Do you have documented procedures, including frequency, for screening outfalls? ☒ Yes ☐ No

E. Of the outfalls identified in 5.C, how many were screened for dry weather discharges during this reporting period?

38

F. Of the outfalls identified in 5.C, how many have been screened for dry weather discharges at any time since you obtained MS4 permit coverage? 38

G. What is your frequency for screening outfalls for illicit discharges? Describe any variation based on size/type.

Once per reporting period (12 months), No variations on size/type

H. Do you have an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism that effectively prohibits illicit discharges? ☐ Yes ☒ No

I. Do you have an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism that provides authority for you to take enforcement action and/or recover costs for addressing illicit discharges? ☐ Yes ☒ No

J. During this reporting period, how many illicit discharges/illegal connections have you discovered? 7

K. Of those illicit discharges/illegal connections that have been discovered or reported, how many have been eliminated? 7

L. How often do municipal employees receive training on the illicit discharge program? Annually

6. Stormwater Management for Municipal Operations

A. Have stormwater pollution prevention plans (or an equivalent plan) been developed for:

All public parks, ball fields, other recreational facilities and other open spaces	☐ Yes	☒ No
All municipal construction activities, including those disturbing less than 1 acre	☐ Yes	☒ No
All municipal turf grass/landscape management activities	☐ Yes	☒ No
All municipal vehicle fueling, operation and maintenance activities	☐ Yes	☒ No
All municipal maintenance yards	☐ Yes	☒ No
All municipal waste handling and disposal areas	☐ Yes	☒ No

Other: All projects disturbing 1 acre or more, or are part of a common development plan disturbing 1 acre or more have SWPPP's

B. Are stormwater inspections conducted at these facilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

C. If Yes, at what frequency are inspections conducted? Every 2 weeks

D. List activities for which operating procedures or management practices specific to stormwater management have been developed (e.g., road repairs, catch basin cleaning).

Good housekeeping and pollution prevention procedures for the Main campus agricultural facilities, Facilities and Services areas (shop areas and lay-down areas).

E. Do you prioritize certain municipal activities and/or facilities for more frequent inspection? ☐ Yes ☒ No

F. If Yes, which activities and/or facilities receive most frequent inspections?

N/A

G. Do all municipal employees and contractors overseeing planning and implementation of stormwater-related activities receive comprehensive training on stormwater management? ☐ Yes ☒ No

H. If yes, do you also provide regular updates and refreshers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

I. If so, how frequently and/or under what circumstances?

Annually

7. Long-term (Post-Construction) Stormwater Measures

A. Do you have an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism to require:

Site plan reviews for stormwater/water quality of all new and re-development projects?	☒ Yes	☐ No
Long-term operation and maintenance of stormwater management controls?	☒ Yes	☐ No
Retrofitting to incorporate long-term stormwater management controls?	☐ Yes	☒ No

B. If you have retrofit requirements, what are the circumstances/criteria?

N/A

C What are your criteria for determining which new/re-development stormwater plans you will review (e.g., all projects, projects disturbing greater than one acre, etc.)?

All projects disturbing 1or more acres, or are part of a development disturbing 1 or more acres

D. Do you require water quality or quantity design standards or performance standards, either directly or by reference to a state or other standard, be met for new development and re-development? ☒ Yes ☐ No

E. Do these performance or design standards require that pre-development hydrology be met for:

Flow volumes	☒ Yes	☐ No
Peak discharge rates	☒ Yes	☐ No
Discharge frequency	☐ Yes	☒ No
Flow duration	☐ Yes	☒ No

F. Please provide the URL/reference where all post-construction stormwater management standards can be found.

https://facilities.nmsu.edu/pde/design-guidelines.html

G. How many development and redevelopment project plans were reviewed during the reporting period to assess impacts to water quality and receiving stream protection? 5

H. How many of the plans identified in 7.G were approved? 5

I. How many privately owned permanent stormwater management practices/facilities were inspected during the reporting period? 0

J. How many of the practices/facilities identified in I were found to have inadequate maintenance? N/A

K. How long do you give operators to remedy any operation and maintenance deficiencies identified during inspections? 2 weeks, Typical

L. Do you have authority to take enforcement action for failure to properly operate and maintain stormwater practices/facilities? ☐ Yes ☒ No

M. How many formal enforcement actions (i.e., more than a verbal or written warning) were taken for failure to adequately operate and/or maintain stormwater management practices? N/A

N. Do you use an electronic tool (e.g., GIS, database, spreadsheet) to track post-construction BMPs, inspections and maintenance? ☐ Yes ☒ No

O. Do all municipal departments and/or staff (as relevant) have access to this tracking system? ☐ Yes ☒ No

P. How often do municipal employees receive training on the post-construction program? Annually

8. Program Resources

A. What was the annual expenditure to implement MS4 permit requirements this reporting period? ~ $50k

B. What is next year's budget for implementing the requirements of your MS4 NPDES permit? ~ $50k

C. This year what is/are your source(s) of funding for the stormwater program, and annual revenue (amount or percentage) derived from each?

Source:	I&G budget (Instructional & General)	Amount $		OR %	70
Source:	BR&R (Building Renewal and Repair)	Amount $		OR %	20
Source:	Capital Improvement	Amount $		OR %	10

D. How many FTEs does your municipality devote to the stormwater program (specifically for implementing the stormwater program; not municipal employees with other primary responsibilities)? 0.5

E. Do you share program implementation responsibilities with any other entities? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Entity	Activity/Task/Responsibility	Your Oversight/Accountability Mechanism

9. Evaluating/Measuring Progress

A. What indicators do you use to evaluate the overall effectiveness of your stormwater management program, how long have you been tracking them, and at what frequency? These are not measurable goals for individual management practices or tasks, but large-scale or long-term metrics for the overall program, such as macroinvertebrate community indices, measures of effective impervious cover in the watershed, indicators of in-stream hydrologic stability, etc.

Indicator	Began Tracking (year)	Frequency	Number of Locations
Example: E. coli	2003	Weekly April–September	20
NMSU storm water website views (#hits)	2018	Continuous	1
Construction site inspection deficiencies	2018	2-4 week (project dependent)	Varies
Illicit discharge monitoring deficiencies	2018	At least once per year	38
Reports of illicit discharges	2013	Continuous	Varies

B. What environmental quality trends have you documented over the duration of your stormwater program? Reports or summaries can be attached electronically, or provide the URL to where they may be found on the Web.

None

10. Additional Information

Please attach any additional information on the performance of your MS4 program, including information required in Parts I.C, I.D, and III.B. If providing clarification to any of the questions above, please provide the question number (e.g., 2C) in your response.

Certification Statement and Signature

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

◉ Yes ○ No

Federal regulations require this application to be signed as follows: **For a municipal, State, Federal, or other public facility**: by either a principal executive or ranking elected official.

Signature | Andy Bowen, AVP | Name of Certifying Official, Title | Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

ATTACHMENT 1

Public Education and Outreach

Contents

Question Number	BMP	Attachment Description
3A 3B	1-2	- SWMP web page (June 2026) and - Web page fact sheets: 1. *Why is Reducing SW Pollution Important?* 2. *Stormwater Pollution and Illicit Discharge* 3. *Be the Eyes for NMSU*
3A 3B	1-2	Outreach: Presentation to the Environmental Science Students Organization
3A 3B	1-2	WERC Environmental Design Contest
3A 3B	1-2	SWPPP Training for Employees
3A 3B	1-2	HAZWOPER training

Question Number	BMP	Description
3A 3B	1-2	- SWMP web page (August 2022) and - Web page fact sheets: 4. *Why is Reducing SW Pollution Important?* 5. *Stormwater Pollution and Illicit Discharge* 6. *Be the Eyes for NMSU*

BE BOLD. Shape the Future.
New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

NMSU operates a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) that is permitted by the Environmental Protection Agency. The MS4 consists of the streets, drainage ditches, and storm drain pipes that convey stormwater runoff through the campus. The permit requires NMSU to implement a program to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff to the maximum extent practicable. Click **here** for an overview of our program – and we all play a role!

Storm Water Management Program Reports

- **NMSU's Storm Water Management Program**
- MS4 Report to EPA
    - **2023 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2022 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2021 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2020 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2019 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2018 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2017 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2016 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2015 SWMP Annual Report**
        - **Public Education and Outreach**
        - **Construction**
        - **Illicit Discharges**
        - **Municipal Stormwater Management**
        - **Post Construction**
        - **Public Notice of Annual Report**
    - **2014 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2013 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2012 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2011 SWMP Annual Report**
    - **2010 SWMP Annual Report**

Be Storm Water Savvy! **Information about the MS4 Permit**

One of the most significant, yet unrecognized groups of water contaminants is storm water pollutants. When it rains, storm water flows over yards, streets, roads, highways, parking lots, parks, and playgrounds, carrying with it everything in its path, including trash and pollutants. Unlike sanitary sewers that divert water to a treatment plant directly from NMSU, storm drains lead directly to open water bodies – such as the NMSU retention pond at Sam Steele Way and Union Avenue – without any type of treatment. All the trash and pollutants that were picked up by storm water runoff, ultimately may end up in the Rio Grande via a series of ditches.

New Mexico State University's Storm Water Management Program for the Las Cruces campus includes six minimum control measures to protect water quality, as required by the Environmental Protection Agency. One of the measures, **Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination,** differentiates between allowable discharges and illicit discharges into the storm drain system.

Allowable non-storm water discharges include such activities as potable waterline flushing; landscape irrigation; discharges from potable water sources; air conditioning condensate; irrigation water; lawn watering; individual residential car washing; de-chlorinated swimming pool discharges; and discharges from emergency firefighting activities.

An unallowable, or **illicit discharge**, is any discharge to the storm drain system that is not composed entirely of rain water or groundwater. Examples include dumping of motor vehicle fluids, household hazardous wastes, grass clippings, leaf litter, industrial waste, restaurant wastes, or any other non-storm water waste into a storm water system.

How Do I Spot an Illicit Discharge?

Watch for stains, unusual odors, out-of-place containers, water flow when no rain has fallen, and abnormal vegetative growth.

If you see an illicit discharge; **REPORT IT to NMSU Environmental Health & Safety at 575-646-3327.**

The program is especially important as the campus goes into the summer season, when thunderstorms can wash trash and other materials into the drainage system. Also, the EPA requires NMSU to keep pollutants out of the system of curbs, gutters, ditches and other structures it uses to channel storm water runoff on the Las Cruces campus.

Construction

Operators of construction activities on the NMSU main campus, including tenants, are required to comply with the NPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities.

If the entire disturbed area is less than five (5) acres, including utility connections and the staging area, and the project will be of relatively short duration, the construction activity may qualify for a permit waiver.

EPA's **Low Erosivity Waiver Calculator** can be used to determine if the waiver is applicable to the project.

All other projects that disturb one (1) acre of more must prepare a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and file a Notice of Intent (NOI) to authorize the discharge of stormwater.

Helpful Links:

- **NMSU's SWPPP review checklist**
- **How to file an electronic NOI**

- **Obtain information on the permit**

Household Hazardous Waste (HHW)

Residents of Family Housing can take HHW to the Amador Avenue Recycling Center at 2865 W. Amador Avenue. The Center is open 7 am to 5 pm on Monday through Friday and 8 am to 4 pm on Saturday and Sunday.

The Center accepts: Paints and Paint thinners Oil and Gasoline Kerosene Aerosols Fertilizers Batteries	Pesticides Pool Chemicals Developing Chemicals Cleaning Chemicals Acids Mercury	
Materials NOT Accepted: No Asbestos No Biomedical Waste No Fire Extinguishers	No Radioactive Waste No Explosives No Cylinders	No Ammunition No Electronic Waste

For more information on HHW disposal, contact (575) 528-3800, or go to **www.thescrappypages.com/recycling.php**

Stormwater Management Program Resources

- **Stormwater Inspection – Outfall Screen Data Form**
- **Why is Reducing Stormwater Pollution Important at NMSU**
- **Be the Eyes for NMSU**

Why Is Reducing Stormwater Pollution Important at NMSU?

Reducing Stormwater Pollution is the Right Thing to Do

Stormwater pollution is a form of man-made pollution that impacts the environment on and off campus. Pollutants we create on campus are ultimately carried off campus by stormwater runoff and affect the desert that we call home. Polluted stormwater creates numerous costs to the public and to wildlife. As the saying goes, "we all live downstream."

Stormwater pollution degrades the water quality of our arroyos and the Rio Grande and may harm fish that are present when the river is flowing, as well as animals that drink ponded stormwater. Common pollutants in stormwater include heavy metals, toxics, oil and grease, solvents, nutrients, viruses, and bacteria. Dirt from erosion, also called sediment, covers the habitat of organisms living on the bottom of the arroyo and river. Fertilizers in stormwater can cause too much algae to grow, which hurts wildlife by using up the oxygen in water that they need to survive. Soaps hurt fish gills and fish skin, and other chemicals damage plants and animals when soap enter the water. All these materials can threaten aquatic life, wildlife, and human health.

NMSU is Required to Reduce Stormwater Pollution

NMSU holds a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit under the NPDES Tracking Number NMR04L002. The

New Mexico Regulations

Stormwater regulations for the State of New Mexico are administered by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), which is authorized by the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) to regulate discharges to surface waters in the United States.

Under the CWA, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Stormwater Permitting Program was authorized to control water pollution by regulating point sources that discharge pollutants to waters of the U.S.

permit was authorized by the USEPA. Under this permit, NMSU is required to do the following:

- Reduce the discharge of pollutants to the "maximum extent practicable"
- Protect water quality
- Satisfy the Water Quality Standards developed for the arroyos that flow through NMSU and for the Rio Grande

An MS4 is a system of conveyances, including roads with drainage systems, streets, curbs, gutters, ditches, channels, and storm drains, that collects or conveys stormwater to waters of the United States.

As part of the permit, NMSU has established a stormwater management program that contains six measures to reduce pollutants:

1) Public education and outreach on stormwater impacts
2) Public participation/involvement
3) Illicit discharge detection and elimination
4) Construction site stormwater runoff control
5) Post-construction stormwater management in new development and redevelopment
6) Pollution prevention / good housekeeping for municipal operations

Every year, NMSU must report to the USEPA the activities NMSU has completed for each of these measures.

What is NMSU Doing to Reduce Stormwater Pollution?

- Distributing information about stormwater
- Inspecting stormwater outfalls for illicit discharges
- Asking all faculty, staff, and students to look for illegal dumping and illicit discharges
- Inspecting and removing trash and debris from the campus grounds once a week
- Reviewing stormwater pollution prevention plans for NMSU's construction projects that disturb 1 acre or more or that are part of a common plan
- Utilizing Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) standards for new facility construction
- Reviewing new development plans for compliance with drainage criteria
- Implementing pollution prevention measures at NMSU
- Conducting street sweeping of each major thoroughfare monthly
- Studying ways to control animal feed and waste runoff from agricultural pens

Additional information on NMSU's Stormwater Management Program

Website: http://safety.nmsu.edu/programs/environmental/SWMP.htm
Karl Dykman
Environmental Health and Safety Department
1620 Standley Drive, Academic Research Building C
(575) 646-3327

Stormwater Pollution and Illicit Discharges

Common Sources of Illicit Discharges

Dumping of mop buckets or other wash waters

Car wash wastewater

Improper used oil disposal

Using water to clean pavement

Improper disposal of auto and household toxic substances (transmission fluid, antifreeze, household cleaners, etc.)

What is an Illicit Discharge?

An illicit discharge occurs when something other than stormwater (runoff) enters a storm drainage system. The causes can be intentional, such as someone deliberately dumping automotive fluids, wastewater, or trash into a storm drainage inlet. Illicit discharges can be unintentional as well, such as leaving chemicals or pet waste in an area where stormwater may carry away the polluting material.

Why Should I Care?

Illicit discharges contribute pollutants to stormwater, including heavy metals, toxics, oil and grease, solvents, nutrients, viruses, and bacteria to receiving water bodies. Pollutants in stormwater have been shown by EPA studies to be high enough to significantly degrade receiving water quality and threaten aquatic, wildlife, and human health.

It is also the law. NMSU is an operator of a small municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) which has authorization to discharge stormwater to surface water under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit Number NMR04000. Under this permit, NMSU must detect and eliminate illicit discharges.

Indicators of an Illicit Discharge

- During dry days, any water except irrigation water that is flowing into a grate in the ground, down the road, or into a drainage ditch or arroyo may be an illicit discharge.
- Trash, debris, or other material dumped into a storm drain, drainage way, or arroyo is an illicit discharge.

NM STATE

- Oil sheen on stormwater may indicate that oil or other hydrocarbon wastes have been added to the water through illegal dumping. Sheen can also indicate the presence of toxins.

  - Odd colored water (anything but clear) flowing into a grate in the ground, down the road, or into a drainage ditch or arroyo.
  - Algae, unhealthy fish, or discolored vegetation growing in the arroyos or drainage ditches.

What Can I Do?

- If you see evidence of an illicit discharge or evidence of dumping, or you think you have found an illicit discharge, please contact the NMSU Storm Water Management Program at **575-646-3327** or via email at environmental@nmsu.edu
- Store materials that could pollute stormwater indoors or in waterproof containers that will not rust.
- Do not dump any substances such as used oil, cleaning supplies, or paint into the storm drain inlets, a drainage way, or onto the ground.
- Take all your used oil, cleaning supplies, paint, and other household hazardous waste to the Amador Avenue Recycling Center at 2825 W. Amador Avenue. **The center is open every day, except holidays**. Please call 575-528-3800 for hours.

What If I Want To Know More?

- NMSU's Stormwater Management Program website: https://safety.nmsu.edu/environmental/swmp.html
- EPA's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Stormwater program website: https://www.epa.gov/npdes/npdes-stormwater-program
- New Mexico Environment Department Stormwater Program website: https://www.env.nm.gov/surface-water-quality/stormwater/

  Las Cruces's Stormwater Pollution Information website: https://www.lascruces.gov/1231/Stormwater-Floodplain-Information
- Residential Household Hazardous Waste Recycling (used oil, paint, cleaning chemicals) : https://www.scswa.net/181/Household-Hazardous-Waste-HHW

Sources:
United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2005. Stormwater Phase II Final Rule– Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Minimum Control Measure, EPA 833-F-00-007 [Fact Sheet] Available at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/fact2-5.pdf. December .

Center for Watershed Protection. 2011. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Presentations: Pollution Prevention. 2011

New Mexico State University. 2009. Storm Water Management Program for NPDES General Permit No. NMR040000. July.

Be the Eyes for NMSU

Be On the Lookout for Illegal Dumping and Illicit Discharges

What Does Illegal Dumping Look Like?

- Tires in arroyos
- Rubble and debris
- Trash
- Furniture

If you think it belongs in a trash can or the landfill, then it probably does. Report what you see to 575-646-3327.

NM STATE

What is an Illicit Discharge?

If it is not raining and you see anything other than water going into an arroyo or storm drain, you are probably seeing an illicit discharge.

Just remember, **are the solution to Stormwater Pollution.**

Please report any dumping and illicit discharges you see to 575-646-3327.

NM STATE

Question Number	BMP	Description
3A 3B	1-2	Outreach: Presentation to the Environmental Science Students Organization

Storm Water Management

NMSU ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE STUDENT ORGANIZATION (E.S.S.O.)

Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management

BE BOLD. Shape the Future.

Jack Kirby, November 8, 2021

NMSU Environmental Health Safety and Risk Management (EHS&RM) Programs

Risk Management & Loss Control Programs

Vehicle, Cart, & Pedestrian Safety

Chemical Safety

Biological Safety

Agricultural Safety

Fire Safety

Industrial Safety

Office Safety

Campus Safety News

Shop Safety Programs

Environmental Protection

Indoor Air Quality & Mold

Lab Safety

Radiation Safety

Hazardous Waste

Emergency Action

Laser Safety

Campus Bicycle Safety

- Student employee/internships
- Staff with degrees in Environmental Science, Environmental Engineering, Biology, Microbiology, Geology, Radiation Ecology, Health Physics, Chemistry, etc.

Why have a SWMP?

- NMSU is committed to preserving the environment...clean water
- EPA-required (it's a law!)
- Not really a flood control issue

We are all a part of storm water management at NMSU

Check out our web page!

https://safety.nmsu.edu/environmental/swmp.html

- It actually does rain around here…really! And when it does, the water picks up anything in its flow, and ultimately reaches the Rio Grande (nonpoint source pollution)





Hint (the easy way)…search the NMSU Home Page for "SWMP"


Regulatory Framework
National
Clean Water Act, Section 402(p)
EPA NPDES Regulations, 40 CFR 122
Authorized States
Unauthorized States
State by State
State Laws and Regulations
(45 States and PR)
State NPDES Permits
EPA NPDES Permits
(5 states, most territories and Indian country lands)
Regulated Universe
MS4s, Construction Sites, Industrial Facilities

National Map of Regulated MS4s

It's everywhere!
07/26/2010

Storm Drain Systems are Separate from Wastewater Systems
catch basin
sewer pipe
storm drain pipe
TO THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
TO THE LOCAL WATERBODY

How am I a part of this? I am a student! I work in an office! *(or a classroom, or a kitchen, or a lab, or…)*

- The rest of us impact the environment by our actions
  - Don't litter! ("floatables")
  - Picking up after pets
  - Does your car leak oil? If so – fix it!
  - Less pavement
  - Household waste disposal
  - Help to educate your peers



Be the eyes of NMSU!

NMSU Design and Construction

NMSU Center for the Arts; 50K gallons storm water capacity…designed so no runoff leaves the facility



What's bad?...How do I contact you?

- Litter/dumping, clogged drains, chemical spills – any of these can potentially harm NMSU. Let's all be the eyes and ears for a better campus!



- Phone # to EH&S (also used for Incident Response): 646-3327
- Email: ***ehs@nmsu.edu***
- Web: https://safety.nmsu.edu/environmental/swmp.html
- Or call me directly (info on last slide)



From NMSU...

DANGER
CONSTRUCTION AREA
KEEP OUT

Facing northeast

WHEN IT RAINS
IT DRAINS

NM STATE **BE BOLD.** Shape the Future.

Contact Information

Karl Dykman

Environmental Health Safety and Risk Management

https://safety.nmsu.edu/

646-3327

k3dykman@nmsu.edu

Environmental Health & Safety - Overall MS4 Management and Coordination
Regulatory Reporting and Compliance
Public Outreach and Education
Public Involvement Participation
Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
Post-Construction Storm Water Management
Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping
Staff Training
Facilities and Services AVP
NMSU Signature authority
Office of Sustainability
Coordination of public outreach
Student/campus organizations
Housing and Residential Life
Distribute and track email/flyer information to residents
EPA, NMED
Project Development and Engineering
Design Standards (mods relative to storm water)
Plan Review
Low Impact Development (LID)
Drainage studies
Drainage improvements implementation
CGP (Construction General Permit) Compliance
LEED certification tracking
Facilities & Services Operations Directorate
Solid Waste tracking and coordination
Recycling tracking and coordination
Employee training (good housekeeping; BMPs)
Pest management
Street sweeping
Material handling
Composting tracking and coordination
Submitting NOIs and NOTs
SWPPP review
Site inspections/reporting
Contractor management
Corrective Actions (implementation and reporting)
Office of Real Estate
Tenant construction compliance

New Mexico State University

Future Goals of the MS4 Program

- EPA issues statewide permits on a 5-year cycle. The next permit will be a watershed-based collaborative management effort.
- Better understanding and responses from my “heavy hitters” (Grounds, Projects)
- Higher level of student/staff/faculty awareness and involvement *(…adopt an arroyo?)*
- $. And More $$. And maybe some $$$!

How are NMSU Employees Involved?

Heavy Hitters:

- Facilities and Services staff…typically the Structural Maintenance, Grounds, and Vehicle Mechanics groups
  - Training of staff for effective SW management
  - Inspecting construction job sites
  - Inspecting Trade Shops
  - Tracking of improvements made (# of recycle and trash bins, removed material, incident responses, etc.)
- Campus Farm
  - Livestock waste management
- Student Housing and Residential Life
  - Communications to residents (household hazardous waste, fertilizing, oil changing, domestic animal wastes, etc.)

What about this law…?

1. Wet or dry – same regulations
2. Addresses water quality
3. Outreach/education emphasis

City	Annual Precipitation	
	Inches	Millimetres
New Orleans, Louisiana	62.7	1592
Miami, Florida	61.9	1572
Birmingham, Alabama	53.7	1364
Memphis, Tennessee	53.7	1363
Jacksonville, Florida	52.4	1331

Alamogordo, NM
June. 2006

City	Annual Precipitation	
	Inches	Millimetres
Las Vegas, Nevada	4.2	106
Phoenix, Arizona	8.2	208
Riverside, California	10.3	262
San Diego, California	10.3	263
Los Angeles, California	12.8	326

Las Cruces, NM 8.45 in.

Never dump paint down the storm drains

NM STATE
BE BOLD. Shape the Future.

Question Number	BMP	Description
3A 3B	1-2	WERC Environmental Design Contest

Proposed, designed, and sponsored by industry and government agencies.

2026 WERC TASKS

Contact

Progam Contact

Ginger Scarbrough, PhD
Program Manager

575.312.7623 (Cell)

Find non task-specific FAQs here.

2026 Tasks & Task FAQs

Task 1. After RO: Brine Management in the Desert

Silver Sponsor: EPA ORD, Hazen & Sawyer
Iron Sponsors: Souder, Miller & Associates; Los Alamos Nat'l Labs

RO has become the "Go-to" for treating water, but what happens to the brine concentrate after RO treatment? In water-scarce areas, arid desert southwestern US, disposing of the waste is a significant issue that often causes engineers to avoid RO. Small communities manufacturers are most affected. (*Full task published 9/3/25*)

El Paso Electric Co. Solar Modules and Cooling Towers

Task 2. Power Plants in the Desert: Recovering Water from Cooling Towers

Platinum Sponsor: Las Cruces Utilities

Task Developers: El Paso Electric Co., Plug Power

Teams are challenged to devise ways of recovering water vapor from inland power plant cooling towers, where water is scarce. Your s save millions of gallons of water every year! The greatest challenge is how to condense this water vapor in the hot summer months.

Task 2 FAQs:

Q: At the 1:60 bench scale, our model will be 5 ft. in diameter. Can we scale down more than 1:60?
A: Yes! Scale it down further. Please ensure that it can fit in the bench-demo area (the table in your booth is 96" x 18" and the entire b 10'x10'), and is reasonable to transport to the contest.

Task 3: Bio-inspired Critical Mineral Recovery

Platinum Task Sponsor and Developer: Freeport-McMoRan

Freeport-McMoRan is inviting you to explore innovative bio-inspired solutions to recover critical minerals from mining-influenced wate may select from three scenarios: Acid Mine Drainage (ARD), Mineral Processing Waters, or Pit Waters.

Note: We plan to ship your team Yttrium sulfate octahydrate and Lanthanum (III) sulfate hydrate. The Lanthanu back order. We will let you know when we will be shipping it to your team. We will use the address on file on the Site.

Task 4. Survive the Night - Logistics Containers in Space

Diamond Sponsor: New Mexico Space Grant Consortium

Task Developers: NASA MSFC, JSC

NASA is seeking innovative concepts for the Artemis program to deliver pressurized logistics (food, supplies, water, repair i etc) to the surface of the moon. Teams are challenged to design cargo containers that will maintain temperature and press harsh lunar conditions.

Task 5: Produced Water: Enhanced Evaporation

Sponsors: Chevron, NGL Water Solutions

Task Developers: Chevron, NGL, and Coterra Energy

Your team is challenged to design innovative ways to accelerate evaporation in PW impoundments. Solutions must scale to a 500,000 rate. In addition to enhanced evaporation, teams may include innovative ways of storing water, rather than the traditional impoundm

(Full task posted on 9/4/25).

Task 6. Open-Source Environmental Monitors

Sponsors and Task Developers: HF Sinclair, NAVFAC EXWC

Your team is challenged to design your choice of open-source environmental monitors to answer a community need. Teams are enco consider the needs of economically challenged communities that may be exposed to health and/or environmental hazards. The sensc easily reproducible at low cost.

Full task updated on 8/15/25. Updates: Added NAVFAC EXWC as a sponsor, reorganized list of sample monitor categories, clarified the Approval Request requirement.

Task 7. Open Task

Sponsor: Jacobs Engineering Group

Task Developers: Your team.

Propose your own environmental challenge. The tasks require innovation and a bench-scale demo. Teams are encouraged to identify economically challenged communities in their region.

Make sure your Open Task is competitive. Not sure? Email werc@nmsu.edu and our judges will review your plans.

Updated on 9/22/25 to add the optional Design Proposal and to update requirements for the 30% Project Review.

General Contest FAQs

Q: What should we put in the social media post? (Posted 4/9/25)
A: Send out something friendly and exciting that thanks the sponsors and tells people what you are doing, like this: excited to be solving xxxx at the WERC Environmental Design Contest in Las Cruces, NM. We send a big "Thank you sponsors: @XXX, @XXX. *(But put it in your own words--you don't want everyone to use the same phrasing that you* Include a photo of your team having fun working on the project.

Q: What is the deadline for submitting the technical report draft to our auditors? (Updated 2/26/25)
A: We suggest that you submit your draft to your auditors 3 weeks prior to the report due date. The date is a sugge requirement. See your Team Manual for more information.

Q: Registration says "Gender for Style." What does that mean? (Posted 10/15/25)
A: Faculty and judges receive a gift of a jacket or vest. The Ladies versions are narrower in the waist and larger in ch than the men's versions. If you prefer it larger in the waist, select "Male." If you prefer it narrower in the waist, selec

Question Number	BMP	Description
3A 3B	1-2	SWPPP training for employees

Karl Dykman

From: Andrew Kaczmarek
Sent: Friday, August 8, 2025 10:25 AM
To: rmlopez@ica-ltd.com
Cc: Karl Dykman
Subject: RE: NMSU Stormwater Training Class

Great! There is one item with the quote on tax, working on separate e-mail to try and make simple, thank you.

Drew Kaczmarek, CHMM
Assistant Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
575-646-5428

From: rmlopez@ica-ltd.com <rmlopez@ica-ltd.com>
Sent: Friday, August 8, 2025 10:23 AM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Re: NMSU Stormwater Training Class

WARNING This email originated external to the NMSU email system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.

See you Wednesday...

Raymond M. López, PM/Eng. Conslt., CCP, Principal
900 Pinetree Rd. SE #44185
Rio Rancho, NM 87174
505.994.2236 Office
505.382.0269 Mobile

SWPPP Managers, LLC
Development, Implementation & Monitoring
Southwest, Mid-continent and Rocky Mount. Region
"Working Today for a Better Tomorrow"

From: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Friday, August 8, 2025 8:17 AM
To: rmlopez@ica-ltd.com <rmlopez@ica-ltd.com>
Cc: Karl Dykman <k3dykman@nmsu.edu>
Subject: RE: NMSU Stormwater Training Class

How about next Wednesday, August 13th at 1:30 in our conference room?

Drew Kaczmarek, CHMM
Assistant Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
575-646-5428

From: rmlopez@ica-ltd.com <rmlopez@ica-ltd.com>
Sent: Friday, August 8, 2025 9:13 AM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Re: NMSU Stormwater Training Class

WARNING This email originated external to the NMSU email system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.

Hello Drew:

We can do it next week, pick a time and place. Any day except Monday or Friday.

Thank you,

Raymond M. López, PM/Eng. Conslt., CCP, Principal
900 Pinetree Rd. SE #44185
Rio Rancho, NM 87174
505.994.2236 Office
505.382.0269 Mobile

SWPPP Managers, LLC
Development, Implementation & Monitoring
Southwest, Mid-continent and Rocky Mount. Region
"Working Today for a Better Tomorrow"

From: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Friday, August 8, 2025 7:56 AM
To: rmlopez@ica-ltd.com <rmlopez@ica-ltd.com>
Cc: Karl Dykman <k3dykman@nmsu.edu>
Subject: RE: NMSU Stormwater Training Class

Hello Ray,

Thank you for the quote. I forwarded for PO generation and also asked if we can order a box lunch for all, including you of course, just like last time. Will probably take a couple of weeks to work through the systems.

Yes, let's meet one more time to finalize the agenda. Do you have a day/time you will be in town in the next couple of weeks and be available?

Thank you!

Drew

Drew Kaczmarek, CHMM
Assistant Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
575-646-5428

From: rmlopez@ica-ltd.com <rmlopez@ica-ltd.com>
Sent: Friday, August 8, 2025 6:49 AM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Cc: Karl Dykman <k3dykman@nmsu.edu>; Rebecca Torres <rtorres@nmsu.edu>; Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Re: NMSU Stormwater Training Class

WARNING This email originated external to the NMSU email system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.

Good Moring Drew:

I sent the quote as you asked. Also, we should meet and review the class material and topics you wish to concentrate the class effort. Other than that, we are good to go.

Thank you,

Raymond M. López, PM/Eng. Conslt., CCP, Principal

900 Pinetree Rd. SE #44185

Rio Rancho, NM 87174

505.994.2236 Office

505.382.0269 Mobile

WPPP Managers, LLC
Development, Implementation & Monitoring
Southwest, Mid-continent and Rocky Mount. Region

"Working Today for a Better Tomorrow"

From: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, August 5, 2025 10:59 AM
To: rmlopez@ica-ltd.com <rmlopez@ica-ltd.com>
Cc: Karl Dykman <k3dykman@nmsu.edu>; Rebecca Torres <rtorres@nmsu.edu>; Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>
Subject: NMSU Stormwater Training Class

Hello Ray,

Below is an update on where we stand for our Stormwater Training Class with you in October:

1. We previously agreed to basically follow the same arrangements as our first class about two years ago. We have locked in the class to be held in our training room on:

Wednesday, October 8th, 2025 from 8:00-5:00

2. Just like last time, we have (13) people who plan to attend, their names are:
Evaristo Giron, FS Projects Office
Yvonne Tellez , FS Projects Office
Andres Arrieta, FS Projects Office
Javier Sanchez, FS Projects Office
Moises Morales, FS Projects Office
Kimberly Hilburn, FS Projects Office
Ashley Burkholder, FS Projects Office
Diego Rascon, FS Projects Office
Karl Dykman, EHS&RM
Tom Reichardt, EHS&RM
Brian Townsand, EHS&RM
Estevan Alvarez, EHS&RM
Andrew Kaczmarek, EHS&RM
3. Most of the above did not attend the first training two years ago, but I told them that would be o.k. because you would provide some refresher training from the first class with new material we last discussed in the attached e-mail (new course agenda, etc.). Anticipate there will be a test at the end and be able to certify/re-certify all as stormwater inspectors too with a certificate if all pass, as we should.
4. By August 15th can you send a formal quote for the training? The quote should be for $3,900 ($300 each person, like last time). We will then generate the PO Number and send back.
If any questions or issues please let me know for sure, thank you!
Drew

Drew Kaczmarek, CHMM
Assistant Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
575-646-5428

Question Number	BMP	Description
3A 3B	1-2	HAZWOPER Training

Karl Dykman

From: Andrew Kaczmarek
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 2:37 PM
To: Estevan Alvarez; Tom Reichardt; Karl Dykman; Brian Townsand
Subject: Fw: Agenda for Annual Training Tomorrow

All,

Please see agenda below for hazwoper tomorrow. Please be in the Training Room before 8:10.

Thank you!

Drew

Drew Kaczmarek, CHMM
Assistant Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Mgt.
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
575-646-5428

From: Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 2:33 PM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>; David Schoep <dschoep@nmsu.edu>; Sue-Lyn Acosta <siouxsa@nmsu.edu>; Rebecca Torres <rtorres@nmsu.edu>; Eileen Nevarez <eilnev@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Re: Agenda for Annual Training Tomorrow

Another slight adjustment:

8:10 - 8:30 - Polly welcomes and gives a brief intro to the day
8:30 - 9:30 - Drew/Estevan- Hazardous Waste Refresher and Spill Response
9:30 - 9:45 - Dave/Mike - Methylene Chloride Updates
9:45 - 10:00 - Break
10:00 - 11:00 - Polly - Webinar: AI for EHS Professionals
11:00 - 12:00 - Tom - Mislabeled Waste/AI Waste Lessons
12:00 - 1:00 - Lunch
1:00 - 2:15 - Sue-Lyn and Team - Mold Guidance, flooding guidance, ladder safety, heat safety, ACM awareness while performing building or SFMO inspections, fire drills and testing (Always Evacuate)
2:15 - 3:15 - Karl - Stormwater/Oil Spill Issues
3:15 - 4:00 - Overflow time, should we run over on any topic.

Drew's team will complete Respirator Refresher training and a Fit Test on their own schedule.

Polly J (Wagner) Saenz, MBA

Executive Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management
New Mexico State University
☎ 575-646-6899
✉: pwagner@nmsu.edu

Be Bold. Shape the Future.
Be Safe. Manage Risk and Prevent Loss.

This message and all its attachments may contain information that is confidential and privileged. It is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message immediately.

From: Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 2:31 PM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>; David Schoep <dschoep@nmsu.edu>; Sue-Lyn Acosta <siouxsa@nmsu.edu>; Rebecca Torres <rtorres@nmsu.edu>; Eileen Nevarez <eilnev@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Re: Agenda for Annual Training Tomorrow

Perfect, here is an update with that change:

8:10 - 8:30 - Polly welcomes and gives a brief intro to the day
8:30 - 9:30 - Drew/Estevan- Hazardous Waste Refresher and Spill Response
9:30 - 9:45 - Dave/Mike - Methylene Chloride Updates
9:45 - 10:00 - Break
10:00 - 11:00 - Polly - Webinar: AI for EHS Professionals
11:00 - 12:00 - Tom - Mislabeled Waste/AI Waste Lessons
12:00 - 1:00 - Lunch
1:00 - 2:15 - Sue-Lyn and Team - Mold Guidance, flooding guidance, ladder safety, heat safety, ACM awareness while performing building or SFMO inspections, fire drills and testing (Always Evacuate)
2:15 - 3:00 - Karl - Stormwater/Oil Spill Issues
3:00 - 4:00 - Overflow time, should we run over on any topic.

Drew's team will complete Respirator Refresher training and a Fit Test on their own schedule.

Polly J (Wagner) Saenz, MBA
Executive Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management
New Mexico State University
☎ 575-646-6899
✉: pwagner@nmsu.edu

Be Bold. Shape the Future.
Be Safe. Manage Risk and Prevent Loss.

This message and all its attachments may contain information that is confidential and privileged. It is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message immediately.

From: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 2:28 PM
To: Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>; David Schoep <dschoep@nmsu.edu>; Sue-Lyn Acosta <siouxsa@nmsu.edu>; Rebecca Torres <rtorres@nmsu.edu>; Eileen Nevarez <eilnev@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Re: Agenda for Annual Training Tomorrow

Hi Polly,

If agreeable, please allow for me and Estevan to complete the Haz. Waste Refresher and Spill Response from 8:30-9:30. This will then open up a full hour at the end of the day from 3-4 if needed.

Thank you,

Drew

Drew Kaczmarek, CHMM
Assistant Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Mgt.
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
575-646-5428

From: Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 2:06 PM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>; David Schoep <dschoep@nmsu.edu>; Sue-Lyn Acosta <siouxsa@nmsu.edu>; Rebecca Torres <rtorres@nmsu.edu>; Eileen Nevarez <eilnev@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Agenda for Annual Training Tomorrow

Hi all, here is what I am proposing for tomorrow. I had to adjust a few things from what was sent to accommodate the pre-scheduled webinar at 10am and a few folks needing to leave a tad little early.

As always, this is highly flexible, and we can adapt throughout the day if anything lasts longer than it should or ends up being shorter. Please let me know if something doesn't work for you and your team.

8:10 - 8:30 - Polly welcomes and gives a brief intro to the day
8:30 - 9:30 - Drew - Hazardous Waste Refresher
9:30 - 9:45 - Dave/Mike - Methylene Chloride Updates
9:45 - 10:00 - Break
10:00 - 11:00 - Polly - Webinar: AI for EHS Professionals
11:00 - 12:00 - Tom - Mislabeled Waste/AI Waste Lessons
12:00 - 1:00 - Lunch
1:00 - 2:15 - Sue-Lyn and Team - Mold Guidance, flooding guidance, ladder safety, heat safety, ACM awareness while performing building or SFMO inspections, fire drills and testing (Always Evacuate)

2:15 - 3:00 - Karl - Stormwater/Oil Spill Issues
3:00 - 4:00 - Drew/Estevan - Spill Response

Drew's team will complete Respirator Refresher training and a Fit Test on their own schedule.

Polly J (Wagner) Saenz, MBA
Executive Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Management
New Mexico State University
☎ 575-646-6899
✉: pwagner@nmsu.edu

Be Bold. Shape the Future.
Be Safe. Manage Risk and Prevent Loss.

This message and all its attachments may contain information that is confidential and privileged. It is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message immediately.

ATTACHMENT 2

Construction

Contents

Question Number	BMP	Attachment Description
4A	4-2	SWPPP Review Checklists and LEW application
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Aggie emorial perations Ctr
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Aggie Alumni Pond
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Biomedical Expansion Project
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Cole Village Demolition Project
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for NMDA building
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for O'Donnell Hall
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Thomas and Brown replacement
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Campus Wide Drainage Project
4A 4C	4-3	LEW for Askew baseball stadium on Main Campus

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A	4-2	SWPPP Review Checklists

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Background: *This checklist is used by New Mexico State University (NMSU) staff for Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) reviews. It is provided as a tool to assure the reviewer(s) that the required elements of a SWPPP are included per the 2012 Construction General Permit (CGP). Use of this checklist will help you to determine if the SWPPP is complete.*

Review Information

Project Name: NMSU alumni Pond Reconstruction

NMSU Project Manager: Yvonne Tellez

Contractor: Crosstown Construction

SWPPP Date: 12/05/2025

Reviewer Name: Karl Dykman

Review Date: 12/12/2025

SWPPP Information - does the submitted plan contain the following:

Yes	No	N/A	
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.1 CGP] All Site Operators. Include a list of all other operators who will be engaged in construction activities at the site, and the areas of the site over which each operator has control
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.2 CGP] [7.2.2 CGP] Stormwater Team. Identify the personnel (by name and position) that you have made part of the stormwater team pursuant to Part 6.1, as well as their individual responsibilities, including which members are responsible for conducting inspections. Include verification that each member of the stormwater team has received the training required by Part 6.2.
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.3 CGP] Nature of Construction Activities. Include the following: [7.2.3 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.4 CGP] Site Map. Include a legible map, [7.2.4 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.5 CGP] Non-Stormwater Discharges
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.6 CGP] Description of Stormwater Controls [7.2.6 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.7 CGP] Procedures for Inspection, Maintenance, and Corrective Action
☐	☐	☑	[7.2.8 CGP] Procedures for Turbidity Benchmark Monitoring from Dewatering Discharges (if applicable)
☐	☐	☑	[7.2.9 CGP] Compliance with Other Requirements

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Note any SWPPP deficiencies here (add pages if needed):

SWPPP Approved? ◉ YES ○ NO Karl Dykman

KCD

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Background: *This checklist is used by New Mexico State University (NMSU) staff for Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) reviews. It is provided as a tool to assure the reviewer(s) that the required elements of a SWPPP are included per the 2012 Construction General Permit (CGP). Use of this checklist will help you to determine if the SWPPP is complete.*

Review Information

Project Name: Biomedical Expansion

NMSU Project Manager: Ashley Burkolder

Contractor: GenCon Corporation

SWPPP Date: 01/29/26

Reviewer Name: Karl Dykman

Review Date: 02/09/26

SWPPP Information - does the submitted plan contain the following:

Yes	No	N/A	
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.1 CGP] All Site Operators. Include a list of all other operators who will be engaged in construction activities at the site, and the areas of the site over which each operator has control
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.2 CGP] [7.2.2 CGP] Stormwater Team. Identify the personnel (by name and position) that you have made part of the stormwater team pursuant to Part 6.1, as well as their individual responsibilities, including which members are responsible for conducting inspections. Include verification that each member of the stormwater team has received the training required by Part 6.2.
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.3 CGP] Nature of Construction Activities. Include the following: [7.2.3 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.4 CGP] Site Map. Include a legible map, [7.2.4 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.5 CGP] Non-Stormwater Discharges
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.6 CGP] Description of Stormwater Controls [7.2.6 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.7 CGP] Procedures for Inspection, Maintenance, and Corrective Action
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.8 CGP] Procedures for Turbidity Benchmark Monitoring from Dewatering Discharges (if applicable)
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.9 CGP] Compliance with Other Requirements

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Note any SWPPP deficiencies here (add pages if needed):

SWPPP Approved? ◉ YES ○ NO Karl Dykman

KCD

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Background: *This checklist is used by New Mexico State University (NMSU) staff for Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) reviews. It is provided as a tool to assure the reviewer(s) that the required elements of a SWPPP are included per the 2012 Construction General Permit (CGP). Use of this checklist will help you to determine if the SWPPP is complete.*

Review Information

Project Name: NMSU Cole Village Abate and Demo

NMSU Project Manager: Dan Fitchett

Contractor: Border Demolition

SWPPP Date: 02/05/2026

Reviewer Name: Karl Dykman

Review Date: 02/05/2026

SWPPP Information - does the submitted plan contain the following:

Yes	No	N/A	
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.1 CGP] All Site Operators. Include a list of all other operators who will be engaged in construction activities at the site, and the areas of the site over which each operator has control
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.2 CGP] [7.2.2 CGP] Stormwater Team. Identify the personnel (by name and position) that you have made part of the stormwater team pursuant to Part 6.1, as well as their individual responsibilities, including which members are responsible for conducting inspections. Include verification that each member of the stormwater team has received the training required by Part 6.2.
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.3 CGP] Nature of Construction Activities. Include the following: [7.2.3 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.4 CGP] Site Map. Include a legible map, [7.2.4 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.5 CGP] Non-Stormwater Discharges
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.6 CGP] Description of Stormwater Controls [7.2.6 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.7 CGP] Procedures for Inspection, Maintenance, and Corrective Action
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.8 CGP] Procedures for Turbidity Benchmark Monitoring from Dewatering Discharges (if applicable)
☐	☐	☐	[7.2.9 CGP] Compliance with Other Requirements

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Note any SWPPP deficiencies here (add pages if needed):

SWPPP Approved? (●) YES ( ) NO

Karl Dykman

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Background: *This checklist is used by New Mexico State University (NMSU) staff for Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) reviews. It is provided as a tool to assure the reviewer(s) that the required elements of a SWPPP are included per the 2012 Construction General Permit (CGP). Use of this checklist will help you to determine if the SWPPP is complete.*

Review Information

Project Name: Campus Wide Drainage

NMSU Project Manager: Andres Arrieta

Contractor: Crosstown Construction

SWPPP Date: Jan. 05, 2026

Reviewer Name: Karl Dykman

Review Date: Jan. 07, 2026

SWPPP Information - does the submitted plan contain the following:

Yes	No	N/A		
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.1 CGP] All Site Operators. Include a list of all other operators who will be engaged in construction activities at the site, and the areas of the site over which each operator has control	
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.2 CGP] [7.2.2 CGP] Stormwater Team. Identify the personnel (by name and position) that you have made part of the stormwater team pursuant to Part 6.1, as well as their individual responsibilities, including which members are responsible for conducting inspections. Include verification that each member of the stormwater team has received the training required by Part 6.2.	
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.3 CGP] Nature of Construction Activities. Include the following:	[7.2.3 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.4 CGP] Site Map. Include a legible map,	[7.2.4 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.5 CGP] Non-Stormwater Discharges	
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.6 CGP] Description of Stormwater Controls	[7.2.6 CGP]
☑	☐	☐	[7.2.7 CGP] Procedures for Inspection, Maintenance, and Corrective Action	
☐	☐	☑	[7.2.8 CGP] Procedures for Turbidity Benchmark Monitoring from Dewatering Discharges (if applicable)	
☐	☐	☑	[7.2.9 CGP] Compliance with Other Requirements	

SWPPP Review Checklist

New Mexico State University

Storm Water Management Program

Note any SWPPP deficiencies here (add pages if needed):

Inconsistent location names: Roadway name labeling on drawings show boundaries as College Street, El Paseo Road, and Sam Steele Way extending to the Gregg Street intersection. The actual area is bordered by Stewart Street, El Paseo Road, Sam Steele Way and the Agriculture Way intersection. This is correctly described on some map pages.

This plan appears to be an implementation of three proposals. The first being the Mitigation of AG Corral runoff, the second being the Restoration of the Regional Pond and the third being Improvement of the Sam Steele Way Channel.

SWPPP Approved? (●) YES ( ) NO Karl Dykman

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A	4-2	Low Erosivity Waiver for Askew Stadium

NMSU Askew Stadium Low Erosivity Waiver

NPDES
FORM

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, DC 20460
Low Erosivity Waiver Certification

FORM
Approved OMB No.
2040-0004
Expires on 02/28/2025

This collection of information is approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2040-0305). Responses to this collection of information are mandatory in accordance with this permit and EPA NPDES regulations (40 CFR 122.41(h)). An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information are estimated to average 1 hour per response. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to the Regulatory Support Division Director, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave , NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

Waiver Eligibility Information

This form has not yet been certified.

NPDES ID:

State/Territory to which your project/site is discharging: NM

Is your project/site located on federally recognized Indian country lands? No

Is your project/site located on Lands of Exclusive Federal Jurisdiction? No

Are you requesting coverage under this NOI as a *"Federal Operator"* or a *"Federal Facility"* as defined in Appendix A (https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-01/2022-cgp-final-appendix-a-definitions.pdf)? No

Is construction activity at the project site less than five (5) acres in area? Yes

- **Is your rainfall erosivity factor (R-Factor (https://lew.epa.gov)) less than five (5)?** Yes

Low Erosivity Waiver Information

Estimated Project Start Date: 11/12/2025

Estimated Project End Date: 01/25/2026

Estimated Area to be Disturbed (In Acres): 3.5

Construction site's R-Factor 1.46

Rainfall Erosivity factor was calculated using: Online Calculator

Are interim non vegetative site stabilization measures used to establish the project completion date for purposes of obtaining this waiver? Yes

Operator Information

Operator Name: New Mexico State University

Operator Mailing Address:
Address Line 1: MSC 3578

Address Line 2: P.O. Box 30001

City: Las Cruces

ZIP/Postal Code: 88003

State: NM

County or Similar Division: Dona Ana

Country: US

Operator Point of Contact Information

First Name Middle Initial Last Name: Andrew Kaczmarek

Title: Assistant Director

Phone: 575-646-3327

Ext.:

Email: kaczmare@nmsu.edu

Project/Site Information

Project/Site Name: NMSU Askew Stadium

Project/Site Address

Address Line 1: S. Locust St. and Wells St.

Address Line 2:

City: Las Cruces

ZIP/Postal Code: 88003

State: NM

County or Similar Division: Dona Ana

Latitude/Longitude: 32.278774°N, 106.74439°W

Latitude/Longitude Data Source: Map

Horizontal Reference Datum: WGS 84

Is your project/site located on federally recognized Indian Country lands? No

Is your project/site located on a property of religious or cultural significance to an Indian tribe? No

Certification Information

Location:

-106.74424614752961 , 32.27901474387623 **Search**

VIA:

https://lew.epa.gov/

on 11/3/25 @ 3:54pm

3 Click the "Calculate R Factor" button below.

Calculate R Factor

Facility Information

Start Date: 11/12/2025

End Date: 01/25/2026

Latitude: 32.2790

Longitude: -106.7442

Calculation Results

Rainfall erosivity factor (R Factor) = 1.46

A rainfall erosivity factor of less than 5.0 has been calculated for your site and period of construction. If you are located in an area where EPA is the permitting authority (pdf), you can submit a LEW through EPA's NPDES eReporting Tool (NeT). Otherwise, contact your state permitting authority to determine if you are eligible for a waiver from NPDES permitting requirements.

If you submitted a LEW through EPA's NeT and your construction activity ultimately extends past the project completion date you specified above, you must recalculate the R factor using the original start date and a new project completion date. If the recalculated R factor is still less than 5.0, you must submit a modification to your LEW through NeT before the end of the original construction period. If the new R factor is 5.0 or greater, you must submit a Notice of Intent (NOI) instead to be covered by the Construction General Permit (CGP) before the original project completion date.

VIA:

https://lew.epa.gov/

on 11/3/25 @ 3:55pm

Karl Dykman

From: Karl Dykman
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 3:27 PM
To: Ashley Burkholder
Cc: Andrew Kaczmarek
Subject: RE: Ball field upgrade contract

Thank you Ashley.
NTP is the start date (11/12/25)
Completion estimated 1/5/26.

I can create a waiver for 11/12/25 through 1/25/26.
This means no NOI will be filed. In fact, construction can commence as soon as the waiver is approved by Owner and Operator.
My planned timeline allows for a 3 week delay and the waiver will discontinue on 1/25/26 without any additional filing from us or the operator (no NOT required).
I will need the email address of the signatory for the contractor so that I can invite them to accept the waiver in the CDeX website.

Does this seem adequate?

Thanks.

Karl

From: Ashley Burkholder <jasper7@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2025 3:00 PM
To: Karl Dykman <k3dykman@nmsu.edu>
Subject: RE: Ball field upgrade contract

Karl-

Attached please find the timeline for Baseball. The project goes to HED on 11.12.205, we issued a Notice of Intent to FieldTurf on 10.13.2025 to allow production to begin. The turn around time on this project is very short.

Thank you,

Ashley Burkholder, AIA
Project Manager, Project Development & Engineering

575. 646.1927 Office
505. 948.0756 Cell
jasper7@nmsu.edu

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	nspection reports for Aggie emorial perations Ctr

NMSU Aggie Memorial Operations center Bldg. #681

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 07-02-2025

Time: 2:05 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance) and Thunderstorms (Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-25-2025 0.625 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	05-02-2024	05-02-2024
Clearing and Grubbing	05-16-2024	05-16-2024
Utility Installation	07-11-2024	11-18-2024
Construction of Structure	08-08-2024	
Final Stabilization	10-31-2024	

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign post on the temporary fence off Arrowhead Dr.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Preexisting berm along Arrowhead Dr.
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Waste roll-off containers implemented on site.
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	VTC rock pad implemented off Arrowhead Dr.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jons on site.
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP book kept in the construction trailer.
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Karl Dykman

Name Signature Date

Operator: Jeff Kaminski

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Jaynes Corporation

Jaynes Corportation: NMSU Aggie Memorial Operations center Bldg. #681

Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Sediment discharge at ramp leading to field	Maintenance	Remove sediment and reestablish perimeter sediment control	06-24-2025	07-02-2025	
Behind the Building between Stadium	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-02-2025		
Next to the Building and Concrete Ramp	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-02-2025		

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 07-02-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Behind the Building between Stadium

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to the Building and Concrete Ramp

---

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 07-16-2025

Time: 2:52 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-25-2025 0.625 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	05-02-2024	05-02-2024
Clearing and Grubbing	05-16-2024	05-16-2024
Utility Installation	07-11-2024	11-18-2024
Construction of Structure	08-08-2024	
Final Stabilization	10-31-2024	

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign post on the temporary fence off Arrowhead Dr.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Preexisting berm along Arrowhead Dr.
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Waste roll-off containers implemented on site.
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	VTC rock pad implemented off Arrowhead Dr.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jons on site.
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP book kept in the construction trailer.
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Karl Dykman

Name Signature Date

Operator: Jeff Kaminski

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Between the Building between and Stage Area	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-02-2025		
Next to the Building and Concrete Ramp	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-02-2025		

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 07-16-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Between the Building between and Stage Area

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to the Building and Concrete Ramp

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 07-29-2025

Time: 2:59 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Moderate Rain Showers (Definite) and Moderate Rain Showers (Numerous)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 0.375 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	05-02-2024	05-02-2024
Clearing and Grubbing	05-16-2024	05-16-2024
Utility Installation	07-11-2024	11-18-2024
Construction of Structure	08-08-2024	
Final Stabilization	10-31-2024	

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign post on the temporary fence off Arrowhead Dr.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Preexisting berm along Arrowhead Dr.
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Waste roll-off containers implemented on site.
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	VTC rock pad implemented off Arrowhead Dr.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jons on site.
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP book kept in the construction trailer.
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Karl Dykman

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: Jeff Kaminski

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
SW corner of new building	Maintenance	Remove sediment from concrete ramp	07-29-2025		
Next to the Building and Concrete Ramp	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-02-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 07-29-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - SW corner of new building

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to the Building and Concrete Ramp

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 07-31-2025

Time: 9:18 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Chance) and Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 0.375 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	05-02-2024	05-02-2024
Clearing and Grubbing	05-16-2024	05-16-2024
Utility Installation	07-11-2024	11-18-2024
Construction of Structure	08-08-2024	
Final Stabilization	10-31-2024	

ite Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign post on the temporary fence off Arrowhead Dr.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Preexisting berm along Arrowhead Dr.
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Waste roll-off containers implemented on site.
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	VTC rock pad implemented off Arrowhead Dr.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jons on site.
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP book kept in the construction trailer.
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Karl Dykman

Name Signature Date

Operator: Jeff Kaminski

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
SW corner of new building	Maintenance	Remove sediment from concrete ramp	07-29-2025	07-31-2025	
Next to the Building and Concrete Ramp	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-02-2025	07-31-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada — 07-31-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Inspection Photos



Rain gage 6-26-25

Jaynes Corporation

Jaynes Corportation: NMSU Aggie Memorial Operations center Bldg. #681

Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

Inspection Type: Routine

Permit Tracking #:
Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Date: 08-13-2025

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Time: 2:08 PM

Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Isolated)

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 0.375 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	05-02-2024	05-02-2024
Clearing and Grubbing	05-16-2024	05-16-2024
Utility Installation	07-11-2024	11-18-2024
Construction of Structure	08-08-2024	
Final Stabilization	10-31-2024	

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign post on the temporary fence off Arrowhead Dr.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Preexisting berm along Arrowhead Dr.
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Waste roll-off containers implemented on site.
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	VTC rock pad implemented off Arrowhead Dr.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☐	☒	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Concrete Washout has been set on the left side of Job Trailer Area
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jons on site.
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other				

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP book kept in the construction trailer.
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Karl Dykman

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: Jeff Kaminski

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Cleaning trash on site during inspection.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada — 08-13-2025

Name — Signature — Date

Inspection Photos



Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 08-27-2025

Time: 11:14 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 0.375 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	05-02-2024	05-02-2024
Clearing and Grubbing	05-16-2024	05-16-2024
Utility Installation	07-11-2024	11-18-2024
Construction of Structure	08-08-2024	
Final Stabilization	10-31-2024	

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign post on the temporary fence off Arrowhead Dr.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Preexisting berm along Arrowhead Dr.
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Waste roll-off containers implemented on site.
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	VTC rock pad implemented off Arrowhead Dr.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Concrete Washout has been set on the left side of Job Trailer Area
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jons on site.
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP book kept in the construction trailer.
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Karl Dykman

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: Jeff Kaminski

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Installing vegetation and a metal entrance at the building.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada — 08-27-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Inspection Photos



Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 09-10-2025

Time: 9:02 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Jaynes: NMR 1006CT / NMSU: NMR100CV

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 0.375 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	05-02-2024	05-02-2024
Clearing and Grubbing	05-16-2024	05-16-2024
Utility Installation	07-11-2024	11-18-2024
Construction of Structure	08-08-2024	
Final Stabilization	10-31-2024	09-10-2025

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☐	☐	☒	SWPPP Sign post on the temporary fence off Arrowhead Dr.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☐	☐	☒	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Preexisting berm along Arrowhead Dr.
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☐	☒	
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☐	☐	☒	Waste roll-off containers implemented on site.
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	VTC rock pad implemented off Arrowhead Dr.
Material Storage	☐	☐	☒	Connex on site
Dust Control	☐	☐	☒	
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Concrete Washout has been set on the left side of Job Trailer Area
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☐	☐	☒	Porta jons on site.
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP book kept in the construction trailer.
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Karl Dykman

Name Signature Date

Operator: Jeff Kaminski

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Final Inspection: 9/10/25

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector:	Pedro Estrada		09-10-2025
	Name	Signature	Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	nspection reports for S Alumni Pond

NMSU Alumni Pond Reconstruction

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Alumni Pond

Inspector: René Molina Date: 1/20/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

30°F 0% chance of precipitation

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [ ] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	Not used
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin				✓	
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition		✓			
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, continued

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: PM indicated adjacent entrance is swept at the end of day as necessary

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

N/A

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: _____ Date: 1/20/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: _____ Date: 1-20-26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Alumni Pond

Inspector: René Molina Date: 4/13/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

64°F Cloudy 0% chance of rain

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance		✓			
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: N/A

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery. N/A

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature:  Date: 4/13/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: Date: 4.13.26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Alumni Pond

Inspector: René Molina Date: 4/27/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

56°F 0% chance of rain

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin		✓			Needs concrete waste removal
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability		✓			
8. Stabilized construction entrance		✓			
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: Need to remove concrete waste from washout basin

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

N/A

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: [signature] Date: 4/27/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: [signature] Date: 4.29.2026

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Alumni Pond

Inspector: René Molina Date: 2/16/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

60°F 0% chance of precipitation expected

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness		✓			
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance		✓			
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: N/A

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form. N/A

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: [signature] Date: 2/16/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: JOSEPH GUTIERREZ

Signature: [signature] Date: 2/16/26

Permittee (~~Owner~~) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Alumni Pond

Inspector: René Molina Date: 1/25/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

42°F, rainfall the last two days total exceeds 0.25" in 24hrs

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☑ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☐ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	Not in use
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				Sidewalk earth removed acts as berm
5. Washout basin				✓	No concrete placed
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition		✓			
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: N/A

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

N

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature:  Date: 1/25/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Alumni Pond

Inspector: René Molina Date: 3/2/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

clear, sunny 61°F no precipitation expected

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin		✓			will need maintenance soon
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: N/A

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

N/A

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Alumni Pond

Inspector: René Molina Date: 3/30/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

71°F Sunny no precipitation expected

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes		✓			
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond				✓	
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: N/A

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: [signature] Date: 3/30/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: [signature] Date: 3·30·26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection reports for Biomedical Expansion Project

NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 2/19/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: MILD, WINDY

NO RAIN

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☑ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☐ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				N/A	
4. Earth berms/dikes				N/A	
5. Washout basin				N/A	
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance				N/A	
9. Curb and gutter condition				N/A	
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

☑ Site in compliance

☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 2/19/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 2/19/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 3/19/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: HOT, NO RAIN

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock/ Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin				✓	
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 3/19/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 3/19/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 3/5/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: HOT, NO RAIN, NO WIND.

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin				✓	
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance				✓	
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

☑ Site in compliance

☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 3/5/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 3/5/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 4/2/26

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: WARM, TRACE OF RAIN TWICE

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

☑ Site in compliance

☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry O'Keyes Date: 4/2/20

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry O'Keyes Date: 4/2/20

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 4/16/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: WARM, NO RAIN

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☑ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☐ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes				✓	
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, continued

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 4/16/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 4/16/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 4/30/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: MILD, TRACE OF RAIN

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, continued

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 4/30/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 4/30/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 5/1/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: COOL, .25" RAIN

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

☑ Site in compliance

☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 5/1/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 5/1/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: MAY 26, 2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: HOT, 0.3" RAIN

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☑ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☐ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, continued

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- ☑ Site in compliance
- ☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: MAY 26, 2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: MAY 26, 2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 5-14-2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: HOT, NO RAIN

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 5-14-2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 5-14-2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 6/4/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: HOT, .85" rain last night

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☑ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☐ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry D Keyes Date: 6/4/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry D Keyes Date: 6/4/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 6/11/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: Hot, trace of rain since 6/4/26

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 6/11/26

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry Keyes Date: 6/11/26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Agricultural Modernization: Biomedical Research Building Expansion

Inspector: Garry Keyes Date: 6/15/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: 30 yrs experience and Certificate of Completion: EPA's CGP Site Inspector Training Course

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events: HOT, 0.75" RAIN LAST NIGHT

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle	✓				
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: _____

_____

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

_____

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

_____

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry D Keyes Date: 6/15/26

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Garry Keyes

Signature: Garry D Keyes Date: 6/15/26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Cole Village Demolition Project

NMSU Cole Village Demoliton

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 02-25-2026

Time: 3:06 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing		
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☐	☒	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Concrete Washout will be installed once cement work begins
Discharge Points	☐	☒	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☒	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Initial Inspection 2/25/26

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 02-25-2026

Name Signature Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 03-25-2026

Time: 11:11 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Concrete Washout will be installed once cement work begins
Discharge Points	☐	☒	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☒	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book wasnt available during inspection
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Site is cleaning the trash collected around the Dumpsters.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector:	Pedro Estrada		03-25-2026
	Name	Signature	Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 03-11-2026

Time: 1:01 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Concrete Washout will be installed once cement work begins
Discharge Points	☐	☒	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☒	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book wasnt available during inspection
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Water truck on site for dust control during inspection. Grey Cement Walls are being pulled apart. Concrete Waste is being dispose properly.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector:	Pedro Estrada		03-11-2026
	Name	Signature	Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 04-08-2026

Time: 10:09 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book wasnt available during inspection
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Along the Main Entrance and Wells St	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		
South side of site by Williams Ave.	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		
Dead End of Fabian Garcia Ave by Stanley Dr.	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 04-08-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Along the Main Entrance and Wells St

---

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - South side of site by Williams Ave.

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Dead End of Fabian Garcia Ave by Stanley Dr.

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 04-22-2026

Time: 11:33 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book wasnt available during inspection
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Along the Main Entrance and Wells St	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		
South side of site by Williams Ave.	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		
Dead End of Fabian Garcia Ave by Stanley Dr.	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 04-22-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Along the Main Entrance and Wells St

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - South side of site by Williams Ave.

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Dead End of Fabian Garcia Ave by Stanley Dr.

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 05-01-2026

Time: 2:52 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Moderate Rain Showers (Likely) and Moderate Rain Showers (Definite)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-01-2026 0.357 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book wasnt available during inspection
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Rain Inspection: 0.375 in.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Along the Main Entrance and Wells St	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		
South side of site by Williams Ave.	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		
Dead End of Fabian Garcia Ave by Stanley Dr.	Maintenance	Filter Socks needs to be placed along the bottom of the fence	04-08-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 05-01-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 05-20-2026

Time: 12:13 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-01-2026 0.357 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Straw Wattle has been placed at the curve by the Entrances on Espina St.
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	SWPPP Book wasnt available during inspection
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector:	Pedro Estrada		05-20-2026
	Name	Signature	Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 05-26-2026

Time: 2:50 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and wet

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-25-2026 0.38 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Straw Wattle has been placed at the curve by the Entrances on Espina St.
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Rain Inspection: 0.38 in. Dirt is being swept from the streets during inspection.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 05-26-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 06-03-2026

Time: 12:50 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Isolated) and Light Rain Showers (Scattered)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-25-2026 0.38 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Straw Wattle has been placed at the curve by the Entrances on Espina St.
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector:	Pedro Estrada		06-03-2026
	Name	Signature	Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 06-04-2026

Time: 1:50 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Chance) and Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-03-2026 0.95 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Straw Wattle has been placed at the curb by the Entrances on Espina St.
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed by operator

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 06-04-2026

Name Signature Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 06-15-2026

Time: 2:54 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator - NMR1007PK / Owner - NMR1007P2

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Moderate Rain Showers (Numerous) and Moderate Rain Showers (Scattered)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-15-2026 0.46 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	02-25-2026	
Clearing and Grubbing	03-11-2026	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Sign has been installed at the entrance of Espina St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Straw Wattle has been placed at the curb by the Entrances on Espina St.
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Roads area paved
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	Connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	Water truck on site for dust control
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	#2 Portable Toilets on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	SWPPP Book is with operator
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Current inspection report needs to be signed by operator

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: Andrew Kaczmarek

Name Signature Date

Operator: Lisa Alarcon

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
NW corner near entrance	Maintenance	Install sediment controls at that corner to prevent future discharge. Remove existing sediment at that location	06-15-2026		
Espina Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	06-15-2026		
Unsigned inspection reports	Maintenance	Each inspection report needs to be signed by operator	06-15-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 06-15-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NW corner near entrance



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Espina Entrance

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for NMDA Building

NMSU - NMDA New Building 678

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 07-02-2025
Time: 1:23 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance) and Thunderstorms (Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-26-2025 0.5 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Pouring concrete during inspection. Concrete Washout has been established by the entrance.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
South entrance/exit	Maintenance	Remove sediment from roadway	06-24-2025		
West exit/entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	06-26-2025	07-02-2025	
Concrete washout on ground SE Corner	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated container	06-24-2025	07-02-2025	
Concrete washout near entrance onto Agriculture way	Corrective Action	Remove washout from ground and place in designated container	06-26-2025	07-02-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 07-02-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - South entrance/exit

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 07-16-2025
Time: 2:32 PM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-26-2025 0.5 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Dirt is being hauled out of site.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
South entrance/exit	Maintenance	Remove sediment from roadway	06-24-2025		
Center of Site	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-16-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 07-16-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - South entrance/exit

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Center of Site

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 07-29-2025

Time: 3:22 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Moderate Rain Showers (Definite) and Moderate Rain Showers (Numerous)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 0.25 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West exit/entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	07-23-2025		
Center of Site	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-16-2025		
Concrete washout near entrance onto Agriculture way	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	07-23-2025		
SW Entrance onto Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove concrete spill and place in designated leakproof container	07-29-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 07-29-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West exit/entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Concrete washout near entrance onto Agriculture way

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - SW Entrance onto Agriculture Way

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 07-31-2025

Time: 9:01 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Chance) and Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-31-2025 0.69 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Routine and Rain Inspection: 0.69 in.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West exit/entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	07-23-2025		
Center of Site	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-16-2025		
Concrete washout near entrance onto Agriculture way	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	07-23-2025	07-31-2025	
SW Entrance onto Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove concrete spill and place in designated leakproof container	07-29-2025	07-31-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 07-31-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West exit/entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Center of Site



Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 08-13-2025
Time: 1:55 PM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Isolated)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-31-2025 0.69 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Concrete Waste has been cleaned from site.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West exit/entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	07-23-2025	08-13-2025	
Center of Site	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	07-16-2025	08-13-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 08-13-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 09-10-2025
Time: 9:39 AM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-31-2025 0.69 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Water truck is spraying water on dirt stockpiles for dust control. #2 Connex have been added to the area of dirt stockpile

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Missing Vehicle Track Out	Maintenance	Reestablish yellow pad at Main Entrance	09-10-2025		
Main Entrance along Gregg St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	08-27-2025	09-10-2025	
Concrete Washout	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	08-27-2025	09-10-2025	
Entrance next to Job Trailer	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	08-27-2025	09-10-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 09-10-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Missing Vehicle Track Out

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 09-17-2025

Time: 2:26 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Chance) and Light Rain Showers (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 0.75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West perimeter	Maintenance	Repair silt fence	09-17-2025		
Missing Vehicle Track Out	Maintenance	Reestablish yellow pad at Main Entrance	09-10-2025		
SE Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		
NW Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		
North Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 09-17-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West perimeter

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - SE Entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NW Entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - North Entrance

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 10-08-2025

Time: 2:15 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Chance) and Light Rain Showers (Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 0.75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West perimeter	Maintenance	Repair silt fence	09-17-2025	10-08-2025	
Missing Vehicle Track Out	Maintenance	Reestablish yellow pad at Main Entrance	09-10-2025		
SE Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025	10-08-2025	
NW Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		
North Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 10-08-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 09-24-2025

Time: 2:52 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 0.75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Dirt is being hauled out for staging area by tennis courts.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West perimeter	Maintenance	Repair silt fence	09-17-2025		
Missing Vehicle Track Out	Maintenance	Reestablish yellow pad at Main Entrance	09-10-2025		
SE Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		
NW Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		
North Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 09-24-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - SE Entrance



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NW Entrance

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 08-27-2025

Time: 10:39 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-31-2025 0.69 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☒	☐	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Main Entrance along Gregg St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	08-27-2025		
Concrete Washout	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	08-27-2025		
Entrance next to Job Trailer	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	08-27-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 08-27-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Concrete Washout



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Entrance next to Job Trailer

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 10-22-2025
Time: 1:39 PM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 0.75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Dirt Stockpile's Front fence	Maintenance	Silt Fence needs to be fixed and towed into the ground	10-22-2025		
Missing Vehicle Track Out	Maintenance	Reestablish yellow pad at Main Entrance	09-10-2025		
NW Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		
North Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada  10-22-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Dirt Stockpile's Front fence

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 11-05-2025
Time: 12:27 PM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 0.75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Hauling dirt off from the site.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Dirt Stockpile's Front fence	Maintenance	Silt Fence needs to be fixed and towed into the ground	10-22-2025	11-05-2025	
Missing Vehicle Track Out	Maintenance	Reestablish yellow pad at Main Entrance	09-10-2025	11-05-2025	
NW Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025	11-05-2025	
North Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025	11-05-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 11-05-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 11-19-2025

Time: 1:45 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Likely) and Light Rain Showers (Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 0.75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Missing Vehicle Track Out		Need to install another yellow pad for the track out	11-19-2025		
Main Entrance along Gregg St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025		
Entrance next to Job Trailer	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025		
Left side of Main Entrance	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete stockpile properly	11-19-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 11-19-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Missing Vehicle Track Out

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Main Entrance along Gregg St.

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Entrance next to Job Trailer

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Left side of Main Entrance

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 11-20-2025

Time: 1:45 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Definite) and Light Rain Showers (Likely)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 0.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Missing Vehicle Track Out		Need to install another yellow pad for the track out	11-19-2025		
Main Entrance along Gregg St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025		
Entrance next to Job Trailer	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025		
Left side of Main Entrance	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete stockpile properly	11-19-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 11-20-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 12-03-2025
Time: 11:40 AM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 0.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Missing Vehicle Track Out		Need to install another yellow pad for the track out	11-19-2025		
Main Entrance along Gregg St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025		
Entrance next to Job Trailer	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025		
Left side of Main Entrance	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete stockpile properly	11-19-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 12-03-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Entrance next to Job Trailer



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Left side of Main Entrance

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 12-17-2025

Time: 8:49 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 0.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Metal frames are being set on the structure. Entrance is closed off by Arigculture Way.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Missing Vehicle Track Out		Need to install another yellow pad for the track out	11-19-2025	12-17-2025	
Main Entrance along Gregg St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025	12-17-2025	
Entrance next to Job Trailer	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	11-19-2025	12-17-2025	
Left side of Main Entrance	Maintenance	Clean up and dispose of concrete stockpile properly	11-19-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 12-17-2025

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 12-30-2025
Time: 2:09 PM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 0.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Inspection is conducted earlier due to holiday schedule. This Inspection counts for 12/31/25.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 12-30-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 01-14-2026
Time: 12:59 PM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 0.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Main Entrance along Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street. Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	01-14-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 01-14-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Main Entrance along Agriculture Way

---



Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 01-26-2026
Time: 3:13 PM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos
Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC
Current Weather Conditions:
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Main Entrance along Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street. Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	01-14-2026		
Concrete washout on ground near entrance onto Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	01-26-2026		
Concrete washout on ground around washout pit	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	01-26-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 01-26-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Main Entrance along Agriculture Way

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Concrete washout on ground near entrance onto Agriculture Way

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Concrete washout on ground around washout pit

---

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 01-28-2026
Time: 9:13 AM
Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Dirt stockpiles
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Main Entrance along Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street. Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	01-14-2026		
Entrance along S Espina St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	01-28-2026		
Concrete washout on ground near entrance onto Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	01-26-2026	01-28-2026	
Concrete washout on ground around washout pit	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	01-26-2026	01-28-2026	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 01-28-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Main Entrance along Agriculture Way

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Entrance along S Espina St.

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 02-11-2026

Time: 12:15 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☐	☒	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Main Entrance along Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street. Clean up and dispose of concrete spill properly	01-14-2026		
Entrance along S Espina St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	01-28-2026		
Left side of Main Entrance next to the Waste Container	Maintenance	Concrete Washout needs to be emptied out and reinstalled	02-11-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 02-11-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Main Entrance along Agriculture Way

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Entrance along S Espina St.

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Left side of Main Entrance next to the Waste Container

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 02-25-2026

Time: 2:46 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☐	☒	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Main Entrance along Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street. Need to install yellow pads	01-14-2026		
Entrance along S Espina St.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street.	01-28-2026	02-25-2026	
Left side of Main Entrance next to the Waste Container	Maintenance	Concrete Washout needs to be emptied out and reinstalled	02-11-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 02-25-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Main Entrance along Agriculture Way

---



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Left side of Main Entrance next to the Waste Container

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 04-08-2026
Time: 9:42 AM
Permit Tracking #: Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		
Main Entrance along Agriculture Way	Maintenance	Remove sediment from the street. Need to install yellow pads	01-14-2026	04-08-2026	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada — 04-08-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 04-22-2026

Time: 11:12 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 04-22-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Next to Yellow Connex

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 05-01-2026

Time: 2:48 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Moderate Rain Showers (Likely) and Moderate Rain Showers (Definite)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-01-2026 0.37 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Rain Inspection: 0.37 in.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Next to Yellow Connex	Maintenance	Repair Silt fence and tow it into the ground	12-03-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 05-01-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 05-20-2026

Time: 11:40 AM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-01-2026 0.37 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero 

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 05-20-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 05-26-2026
Time: 2:43 PM
Permit Tracking #: Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q
Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada
Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and wet
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-25-2026 0.38 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Rain Inspection: 0.38 in.

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 05-26-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 06-03-2026

Time: 12:23 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Isolated) and Light Rain Showers (Scattered)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-25-2026 0.38 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Fods are being used at entrance onto Agriculture Way.
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☐	☒	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 06-03-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 06-04-2026

Time: 1:33 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Chance) and Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-03-2026 0.95 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	10-23-2025
Construction of Structure	10-23-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	06-04-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 06-04-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West Entrance

Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event

Date: 06-15-2026

Time: 2:35 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos

Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC

Current Weather Conditions:
Moderate Rain Showers (Numerous) and Moderate Rain Showers (Scattered)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-15-2026 0.46 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	10-23-2025
Construction of Structure	10-23-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
South perimeter	Maintenance	Repair or replace filter sock	06-15-2026		
West Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	06-04-2026		
North Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	06-15-2026		
NE corner	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	06-15-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 06-15-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - South perimeter



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West Entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - North Entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NE corner



Inspection Photos

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 06-17-2026

Time: 2:08 PM

Permit Tracking #:
Operator: NMR100731 / Owner: NMR10073Q

Inspector Name: Pedro Estrada

Qualifications: Storm Water Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-15-2026 0.46 in. (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	04-09-2025	04-09-2025
Utility Installation	06-24-2025	10-23-2025
Construction of Structure	10-23-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Main entrance along Gregg St.
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Silt fence and filter sock around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☒	☐	☐	Around perimeter
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☐	☒	
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on site
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☐	☐	☒	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	3 porta jons
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☒	☐	☐	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NM State University

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: German Romero 

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
South perimeter	Maintenance	Repair or replace filter sock	06-15-2026		
West Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	06-04-2026		
North Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment from paved surface	06-15-2026		
NE corner	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	06-15-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Pedro Estrada 06-17-2026

Name | Signature | Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - South perimeter



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West Entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - North Entrance

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NE corner



Inspection Photos

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for O'Donnell Hall

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 07/03/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 2:00 pm	**Inspection End Time:** 2:10 pm
Current Phase of Construction: Utility Underground Rough-in	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 89 degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Sweet Rd	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		Being swept at end of each day.
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. N/A	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/03/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/03/2025
Printed Name: Noe Molina	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 07/17/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 11:08 am	**Inspection End Time:** 11:14 pm
Current Phase of Construction: SOG Prep	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Cloudy 89 degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Sweet Rd	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		Being swept up at end of each day.
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. N/A	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/17/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/17/2025
Printed Name: Pedro Garcia	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 07/10/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:00 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:15 pm
Current Phase of Construction: SOG Vapor Barrier Install	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 86 degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Sweet Rd	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		Cleared up and being swept up at end of each day.
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. N/A	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/10/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/10/2025
Printed Name: Pedro Garcia	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 07/23/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 7:12 am	**Inspection End Time:** 7:20 pm
Current Phase of Construction: SOG Prep	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Cloudy 67 degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☒ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 1"

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Sweet Rd	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. N/A	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/23/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/23/2025
Printed Name: Noe Molina	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 07/24/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:02 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:19 am
Current Phase of Construction: SOG PrepSOG Pour at Midnight	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 82 degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Sweet Rd	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	**Pit has been made and will be used for SOG pour at midnight today.**
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/24/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 07/31/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:08 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:16 am
Current Phase of Construction: Structural Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny/Rainy

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☒ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 3/4" (rain started at 7am and ended at 9:20 am)

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	**Removed**
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 07/31/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 08/07/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:00 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:12 am
Current Phase of Construction: Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 91 Degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 08/07/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 08/14/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:02 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:12 am
Current Phase of Construction: Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 84 Degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		Being swept and end of each day
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 08/14/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 08/14/25
Printed Name: Noe Molina	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 08/21/2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:02 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:15 am
Current Phase of Construction: Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 81 Degrees

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated

☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☒ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

☒ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): **1/16" rain yesterday**

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

☐ On-site rain gauge

☐ Weather station representative of site.
Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		Being swept at end of each day
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 08/21/2025
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

General Tips for Using This Template

This Site Inspection Report Template is provided to assist you in preparing site inspection reports for EPA's 2022 Construction General Permit (CGP). If you are covered under the 2022 CGP, you can use this template to create a site inspection report form that is customized to the specific circumstances of your site and that complies with the minimum reporting requirements of Part 4.7 of the permit. Note that the use of this form is optional; you may use your own site inspection report form provided it includes the minimum information required in Part 4.7 of the CGP.

This template does not address the CGP's inspection reporting requirements related to dewatering activities. A separate inspection template has been developed specifically for dewatering activities and is available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates.

Keep in mind that this document is a template and not an "off-the-shelf" inspection report that is ready to use without some modification. You must first customize this form to include the specifics of your project in order for it to be useable for your inspection reports. Once you have entered all of your site-specific information into the blank fields, you may use this form to complete inspection reports.

The following tips for using this template will help you ensure that the minimum permit requirements are met:

- **Review the inspection requirements.** Before you start developing your inspection report form, read the CGP's Part 4 inspection requirements. This will ensure that you have a working understanding of the permit's underlying inspection requirements.
- **Complete all required blank fields.** Fill out all blank fields. Only by filling out all fields will the template be compliant with the requirements of the permit. (Note: Where you do not need the number of rows provided in the template form for your inspection, you may delete these or cross them off as you see fit. Or, if you need more space to document your findings, you may insert additional rows in the electronic version of this form or use the bottom of the page in the field version of this form.)
- **Use your site map to document inspection findings.** In several places in the template, you are directed to specify the location of certain features of your site, including where stormwater controls are installed and where you will be stabilizing exposed soil. You are also asked to fill in location information for unsafe conditions and the locations of any discharges occurring during your inspections. Where you are asked for location information, EPA encourages you to reference the point on your SWPPP site map that corresponds to the requested location on the inspection form. Using the site map as a tool in this way will help you conduct efficient inspections, will assist you in evaluating problems found, and will ensure proper documentation.

- **Complete the inspection report within 24 hours of completing a site inspection.** You must complete an inspection report in accordance with Part 4.7.1 of the CGP.
- **Include the inspection form with your SWPPP.** Once your form is complete, make sure to include a copy of the inspection form in your SWPPP in accordance with Part 7.2.7.e of the CGP.
- **Retain copies of all inspection reports with your records.** You must also retain in your records copies of all inspection reports in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.3 of the CGP. These reports must be retained for at least 3 years from the date your permit coverage expires or is terminated in accordance with the requirements in Part 4.7.4 of the CGP.

Instructions for Section A

Inspector Name

Enter the name of the person that conducted the inspection. Include the person's contact information (title, affiliated company name, address, email, and phone number).

Inspection Date and Time

Enter the date you performed the inspection and the time you started and ended the inspection.

Weather Conditions During Inspection

Enter the weather conditions occurring during the inspection, e.g., sunny, overcast, light rain, heavy rain, snowing, icy, windy.

Current Phase of Construction

If this project is being completed in more than one phase, indicate which phase it is currently in.

Inspection Location

If your project has multiple locations where you conduct separate inspections, specify the location where this inspection is being conducted. If only one inspection is conducted for your entire project, enter "Entire Site." If necessary, complete additional inspection report forms for each separate inspection location.

Unsafe Conditions for Inspection (CGP Part 4.5.7)

Inspections are not required where a portion of the site or the entire site is subject to unsafe conditions. These conditions should not regularly occur and should not be consistently present on a site. Generally, unsafe conditions are those that render the site (or a portion of it) inaccessible or that would pose a significant probability of injury to applicable personnel. Examples could include severe storm or flood conditions, high winds, and downed electrical wires.

If your site, or a portion of it, is affected by unsafe conditions during the time of your inspection, provide a description of the conditions that prevented you from conducting the inspection and what parts of the site were affected. If the entire site was considered unsafe, specify the location as "Entire Site."

Inspection Frequency

Check all the inspection frequencies that apply to your project. Note that you may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of your site.

Inspection Triggered by a Storm Event

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a storm event that produced 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, indicate whether you relied on an on-site rain gauge or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of rainfall for this specific storm event.

If you were required to conduct this inspection because of a snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produced 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period, then indicate whether you relied on an on-site measurement or a nearby weather station (and where the weather station is located). Also, specify the total amount of snowfall for this specific storm event.

Instructions for Section B

Type and Location of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls

Provide a list of all erosion and sediment (E&S) controls that your SWPPP indicates will be installed and implemented at your site. This list must include at a minimum all E&S controls required by CGP Part 2.2. Include also any natural buffers established under CGP Part 2.2.1. Buffer requirements apply if your project's earth-disturbing activities will occur within 50 feet of a discharge to receiving water. You may group your E&S controls on your form if you have several of the same type of controls (e.g., you may group "Inlet Protection Measures," "Perimeter Controls," and "Stockpile Controls" together on one line), but if there are any problems with a specific control, you must separately identify the location of the control, whether routine maintenance or corrective action is necessary, and in the notes section you must describe the specifics about the problem you observed.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?

Answer "Yes" if the E&S control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1 of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?

Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?

Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?

Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for routine maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed

For each E&S control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed and whether it appears to be working to minimize sediment discharge. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required E&S control
2. Damage or destruction to an E&S control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, a storm event, or other event
3. Mud or sediment deposits found downslope from E&S controls, including in receiving waters, or on nearby streets, curbs, or open conveyance channels
4. Sediment tracked out onto paved areas by vehicles leaving construction site
5. Noticeable erosion or sedimentation at discharge outlets or at adjacent streambanks or channels
6. Erosion of the site's sloped areas (e.g., formation of rills or gullies)
7. E&S control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
8. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

For buffer areas, make note of whether they are marked off as required, whether there are signs of construction disturbance within the buffer, which is prohibited under the CGP, and whether there are visible signs of erosion resulting from discharges through the area.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Has Been Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section C

Type and Location of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls
Provide a list of all pollution prevention (P2) practices and controls that are implemented at your site. This list must include all P2 practices and controls required by CGP Part 2.3 and those that are described in your SWPPP.

Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?
Answer "Yes" if the P2 practice or control requires routine maintenance as defined in footnote 1of this template. Note that in many cases, "Yes" answers are expected and indicate a project with an active operation and maintenance program. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem is still ongoing from the previous inspection, though necessary work must be initiated immediately and completed by the end of the next business day or within seven calendar days if documented in accordance with CGP Part 2.1.4.b.

If "Yes," How Many Times (Including this Occurrence) Has this Condition Been Identified?
Indicate how many times the routine maintenance has been required for the same practice or control at the same location.

Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?
Answer "Yes" if you found any of the conditions listed in footnote 2 in this template to be present during your inspection (CGP Part 5.1). If you answer "Yes," you must take corrective action and complete a corrective action log, found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. You should also answer "Yes" if work to fix the problem from a previous inspection is still ongoing, though the operator must comply with the corrective action deadlines in CGP Part 5.2.

Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?
Provide the date on which the condition that triggered the need for maintenance or corrective action was first identified. If the condition was just discovered during this inspection, enter the inspection date. If the condition is a carryover from a previous inspection, enter the original date of the condition's discovery.

Description of Conditions Observed
For each P2 control and the area immediately surrounding it, describe whether the control is properly installed, and whether it appears to be working to minimize or eliminate pollutant discharges. Indicate also whether a new or modified control is necessary to comply with the permit. Describe any problem condition(s) you observed such as the following:

1. Failure to install or to properly install a required P2 control
2. Damage or destruction to a P2 control caused by vehicles, equipment, or personnel, or a storm event

3. Evidence of a spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge, or failure to have properly cleaned up a previous spill, leak, or other type of pollutant discharge
4. Spill response supplies are absent, insufficient, or not where they are supposed to be located
5. Improper storage, handling, or disposal of chemicals, building materials or products, fuels, or wastes
6. P2 control is no longer working due to lack of maintenance
7. Other incidents of noncompliance

Describe also why you think the problem condition(s) occurred as well as actions (e.g., routine maintenance or corrective action) you will take or have taken to fix the problem.

If routine maintenance or corrective action is required, briefly note the reason. If routine maintenance or corrective action has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed and what was done. *If corrective action is required, note that you will need to complete a separate corrective action log describing the condition and your work to fix the problem*.

Routine Maintenance Need Was Found to be Necessary Three (3) or More Times for the Same Control at the Same Location (Including this Occurrence)
If routine maintenance has been required three (3) or more times for the same control at the same location, the permit requires (CGP Part 2.1.4.c) you to fix the problem using the corrective action procedures in CGP Part 5 or to document why you believe the reoccurring problem can be addressed as a routine maintenance fix. If you believe the problem can continue to be fixed as routine maintenance, describe why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance.

Instructions for Section D

Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized
List all areas where soil stabilization is required to begin because construction work in that area has permanently stopped or temporarily stopped (i.e., work will stop for 14 or more days), and all areas where stabilization has been implemented (CGP Part 2.2.14).

Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline
For each area, specify the method of stabilization (e.g., hydroseed, sod, planted vegetation, erosion control blanket, mulch, rock).

Specify also which of the following stabilization deadlines apply to this location:

1. 5 acres or less of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 14 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
2. More than 5 acres of land disturbance occurring at any one time at site: Complete no later than 7 calendar days after stabilization initiated.
3. Arid, semi-arid, and drought-stricken areas: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.i.
4. Unforeseen circumstances: See CGP Part 2.2.14.b.ii.
5. Discharges to a sediment- or nutrient-impaired water or to a water identified as Tier 2, 2.5, or 3 for antidegradation purposes: Complete no later than 7 days after stabilization initiated.

Stabilization Initiated?
For each area, indicate whether stabilization has been initiated. If "Yes," then enter the date stabilization was initiated.

Final Stabilization Criteria Met?
For each area, indicate whether the final stabilization criteria in CGP Part 2.2.14.c have been met. If "Yes," then enter the date final stabilization criteria were met.

Final Stabilization Photos Taken?
Answer "Yes" if you have taken photos before and after meeting the stabilization criteria as required in CGP Part 8.2.1.a.

Notes

For each area where stabilization has been initiated, describe the progress that has been made and what additional actions are necessary to complete stabilization. Note the effectiveness of stabilization in preventing erosion. If stabilization has been initiated but not completed, make a note of the date it is to be completed. If stabilization has been completed, make a note of the date it was completed. If stabilization has not yet been initiated, make a note of the date it is to be initiated and the date it is to be completed.

Instructions for Section E

You are only required to complete this section if a discharge is occurring at the time of the inspection (CGP Part 4.6.2).

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?
During your inspection, examine all points of discharge from your site, and determine whether a discharge is occurring. If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2. If there is a discharge, answer "Yes" and complete the questions below regarding the specific discharge. If there is not a discharge, answer "No" and skip to the next page.

Discharge Location (Repeat as necessary if there are multiple points of discharge.)
Specify the location on your site where the discharge is occurring. The location may be an outlet from a stormwater control or constructed stormwater channel, a discharge into a storm sewer inlet, or a specific point on the site. Be as specific as possible; it is recommended that you refer to a precise point on your site map.

Observations
Document the visual quality of the discharge and take note of the characteristics of the stormwater discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oily sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. Also, document signs of these same pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Instructions for Section F

Each inspection report must be signed and certified to be considered complete (CGP Part 4.7.2).

Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative" – MANDATORY (CGP Appendix G Part G.11.2 and CGP Appendix H Section X)
At a minimum, the site inspection report must be signed by either (1) the person who signed the NOI, or (2) a duly authorized representative of that person. The following requirements apply:

If the signatory will be the person who signed the NOI for permit coverage, as a reminder, that person must be one of the following types of individuals:

- *For a corporation*: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means: (i) a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or (ii) the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
- *For a partnership or sole proprietorship*: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
- *For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency*: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA).

If the signatory will be a duly authorized representative, the following requirements must be met:

- The authorization is made in writing by the person who signed the NOI (see above);
- The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
- The signed and dated written authorization is included in the SWPPP. A copy must be submitted to EPA, if requested.

Sign, date and print your name and affiliation.

Contractor or Subcontractor - OPTIONAL

Where you rely on a contractor or subcontractor to complete the site inspection report, you should consider requiring the individual(s) to sign and certify each report. Note that this does not relieve you, the permitted operator, of the requirement to sign and certify the site inspection report as well. If applicable, sign, date, and print your name and affiliation.

Note

While EPA has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of all instructions contained in this template, it is the permit, not this template, that determines the actual obligations of regulated construction stormwater discharges. In the event of a conflict between this template and any corresponding provision of the CGP, you must abide by the requirements in the permit. EPA welcomes comments on this Site Inspection Report Template at any time and will consider those comments in any future revision. You may contact EPA for CGP-related inquiries at cgp@epa.gov

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: August 28, 2025	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:50 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:02 am
Current Phase of Construction: Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 82° Sunny

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. N/A	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: [signature]	**Date:** 8/28/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature: [signature]	**Date:** 8-28-25
Printed Name: NOE Moline	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information
(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 9/4/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:05 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:12 am
Current Phase of Construction: Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 80°

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. N/A	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 9/4/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 9/4/25
Printed Name: NOE Molina	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: 9/11/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:52 am	**Inspection End Time:**
Current Phase of Construction: Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny – 82°

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. N/A	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: VC	**Date:** 9/11/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 9-11-25
Printed Name: Pedro Garcia	**Affiliation:** ESA

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: 9/18/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:05am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:12am
Current Phase of Construction: Steel Erection	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Cloudy 78°

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4).

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		

If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☒ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	Concrete in penthouse pad pour
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: VC	**Date:** 9/18/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 9/18/25
Printed Name: Pedro Garcia	**Affiliation:** ESA

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: 9/25/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:57 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:01 am
Current Phase of Construction: Metal Framing	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 74°

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		

If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	used for rock wall on trash enclosure. will be cleaned out when done.
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 9/25/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 9/25/25
Printed Name: Pedro Garcia	**Affiliation:** ESH

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: 10/2/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:30 am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:45 am
Current Phase of Construction: EIFS/MEP roughin	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	concrete washout pending removal
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/2/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/[redacted]2/25
Printed Name: Pedro Garcia	**Affiliation:** ESA

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information
(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 10/9/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 7:09 am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:15 am
Current Phase of Construction: MEP rough-in/ETFs	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 71°F cloudy

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	Pending removal / to be removed today
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: VC	**Date:** 10/9/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/9/25
Printed Name: Pedro Garcia	**Affiliation:** ESA

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: 10/16/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:00 am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:12 am
Current Phase of Construction: ~~Stone~~ EIFs/Roof	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 70°

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☐ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/16/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/16/25
Printed Name: Noe Molina	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: 10/23/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:02 am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:10 am
Current Phase of Construction: EIFS/Roof	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 70°

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☐ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations
NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/23/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:** 10/23/25
Printed Name: Noe Moline	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section A – General Information (If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)	
Inspector Information	
Inspector Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** victoria@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 575-805-8827
Inspection Details	
Inspection Date: 10/30/25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:05am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:15am
Current Phase of Construction: E/F/roof/Frt framing	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Sunny 54°

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☐ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Project Name: O'Donnell Hall Addition/Renovations

NPDES ID Number: NMR1006RB

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: [signature]	**Date:** 10/30/25
Printed Name: Victoria Carrasco	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature: [signature]	**Date:** 10/30/25
Printed Name: NOE Moline	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 11.6.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:30am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:48am
Current Phase of Construction: EIF | Roof | Framing Interior	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 64°F clear sky

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 11.6.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 11.13.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:08am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:30am
Current Phase of Construction: ELF/Roof/Framing Interior	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 57F clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: 	**Date:** 11.13.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 11.20.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 1:10pm	**Inspection End Time:** 1:34pm
Current Phase of Construction: roof / interior / waterline tie-in	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 55°F breezy

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		Align to fence once closed
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 11.20.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 11.26.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 8:40am	**Inspection End Time:** 8:58am
Current Phase of Construction: Roof/Interior work	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 41°F Sunny/Clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 11.26.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 12.4.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:00am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:22am
Current Phase of Construction: Roof / Interior Work / Conc. Forms	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 46°F clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: 	**Date:** 12.4.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 12.11.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 12.45pm	**Inspection End Time:** 1:00pm
Current Phase of Construction: Roof / Ext. work / Concrete Forms	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 50°F SUNNY Clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		sweep dirt
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: 	**Date:** 12.11.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 12.18.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:01am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:20am
Current Phase of Construction: roof | Ext. work | Concrete Forms	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 54°F Sunny Clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 12.18.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Andres Borunda	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** andres@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-996-6631

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 12.24.25	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 8:15am	**Inspection End Time:** 8:34am
Current Phase of Construction: Roof / Ext. work / Concrete Forms	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 50°F Sunny breeze

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: 	**Date:** 12.24.25
Printed Name: Andres Borunda	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 1/8/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:00am.	**Inspection End Time:** 10:13am.
Current Phase of Construction: Interior work	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 48°F

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 1/8/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 1/15/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:15am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:21am.
Current Phase of Construction: Interior /Ext. grading	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 49°F

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

- ☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**
- ☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

- ☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 1/15/24
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 1/22/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:12am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:20am
Current Phase of Construction: Interior / Ext. grading / Storm Drain	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 46°F

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		replace. when fence closed.
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		sweep street at end of day.
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: 	**Date:** 1/22/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 1/26/26.	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:00am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:28am.
Current Phase of Construction: Interior / Ext grading / Storm Drain	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 30F (rain weekend)

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☑ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): 5/8"

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		rain over weekend. maintained condition.
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		minor dirt from higher part of street from rain. sweep the street.
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		

If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: 1/26/20	**Date:**
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 1/29/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:40am	**Inspection End Time:** 11:00am.
Current Phase of Construction: Interior/Ext. grading/Conc. Forms.	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 48°F Sunny

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 1/29/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 2/5/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 2:08pm	**Inspection End Time:** 2:25pm
Current Phase of Construction: Interior/Ext. conc. forms.	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 57°F clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 2/5/26.
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 2/12/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:05am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:18am
Current Phase of Construction: Int/Ext concrete forms	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 52°F overcast

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	Prep for upcoming pour
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 2/12/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 2/19/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 2:50pm	**Inspection End Time:** 3:02pm
Current Phase of Construction: Interior / Ext. Concrete.	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 58°F sunny

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	Clean/empty after pours-tomorrow.
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 2/19/26.
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 2/26/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:15am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:27am
Current Phase of Construction: Interior / Ext. forms.	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 65°F clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 2/26/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 3/5/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:10am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:30am
Current Phase of Construction: Interior / Ext. conc forms	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 62°F clear/sunny

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		Place back when closing gate.
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 3/5/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 3/12/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:05am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:21am.
Current Phase of Construction: Interior / ext. forms for CMU	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 43°F clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	Prep for upcoming pour.
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature: 	**Date:** 3/12/24
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 3/19/24	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:12am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:32am.
Current Phase of Construction:	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 65°F Clear

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	good. - Cleanout fully once pours are complete. TBD 3/20/24.
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 3/19/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 3/26/24	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 12:10 pm	**Inspection End Time:** 12:30pm
Current Phase of Construction: Exterior concrete forms.	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 83° sunny

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/a.
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 3/26/24
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 4/2/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:40am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:58am
Current Phase of Construction: Infrastructure & Concrete.	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 58°F Sunny.

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		Place back when gate is closed.
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		sweep debris from winds.
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 4/2/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 4/9/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:11am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:30am
Current Phase of Construction:	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 64°F Partly Cloudy

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: ~~8/24/20~~	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 4/9/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 4/16/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 2:15pm	**Inspection End Time:** 2:45pm
Current Phase of Construction: Interior/Ext. concrete forms	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** Hot 78 F

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		readjust when closing fence.
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		N/A
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)
(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	Prep for concrete pour - upcoming. TBD tomorrow or next week.
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)	
"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."	
MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 4/16/26.
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marques	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 6/4/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 11:05am	**Inspection End Time:** 11:16am
Current Phase of Construction: Exterior	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 80°F Hot/humid.

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☑ Yes ☒ No rained overnight. scheduled inspection.

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☑ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): .20

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		
3. Sweet St.	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☒ No		Close Gap and reinstall waddles.
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 6/24/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 6/11/24	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 10:45am	**Inspection End Time:** 10:58am.
Current Phase of Construction: Exterior.	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 90°F sunny.

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☒ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		
3. Sweet. St.	☐ Yes ☑ No		☐ Yes ☑ No		close gate & place wattles back
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. N/A		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: 8/24/23	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 6/11/24
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 6/15/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:54am	**Inspection End Time:**
Current Phase of Construction: Exterior	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 74°F Overcast.

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☒ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches): .50"

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. Perimeter Wattles	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		removed due to stabilization.
2. Stewart St	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		temp fence removed. / wattles removed.
3. Sweet. Ave. St.	☐ Yes ☒ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		ashalt strip to begin today
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. ~~N/A~~ Bldg perimeter landscape. Sidewalk	Sidewalk. landscape. (plants/rocks)	☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: ~~8/24/23~~	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☑ No	
2. Retention Pond	Rock walls. 50%.	☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	in process.
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 6/15/26.
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 6/18/26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 1:40 pm	**Inspection End Time:** 1:50pm
Current Phase of Construction: Exterior	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 94°F Sunny - Hot

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

- ☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**
- ☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

- ☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
~~1. Perimeter Wattles~~	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		N/A
2. ~~Stewart St~~	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		N/A
3. Sweet Ave.	☐ Yes ☑ ~~No~~		☐ Yes ☐ ~~No~~		Pending small concrete portion of sidewalk.
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. ~~N/A~~	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. ~~N/A~~ Bldg Perimeter landscape sidewalks	sidewalk landscape (Rocks & plants)	☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: ~~8/24/23~~	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Pending small portion of sidewalk final plants being installed.
2. Retention Pond	Rockwall 60%.	☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	In process.
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)	
Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No **If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:** • The visual quality of the discharge. • The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants. • Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.	
Discharge Location	**Observations**
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 6/18/26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Section A – General Information

(If necessary, complete additional inspection reports for each separate inspection location.)

Inspector Information

Inspector Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Title:** Inspector
Company Name: ESA Construction	**Email:** jazmin@esaconstruction.com
Address: 645 El Molino Blvd, Las Cruces, NM 88005	**Phone Number:** 915-328-6112

Inspection Details

Inspection Date: 6.25.26	**Inspection Location:** 1220 Stewart Street, Las Cruces, NM 88001
Inspection Start Time: 9:30am	**Inspection End Time:** 9:38am
Current Phase of Construction: Exterior	**Weather Conditions During Inspection:** 81°F sunny

Did you determine that any portion of your site was unsafe for inspection per CGP Part 4.5? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide the following information:

Location of unsafe conditions:

The conditions that prevented you inspecting this location:

Indicate the required inspection frequency: (Check all that apply. You may be subject to different inspection frequencies in different areas of the site.)

Standard Frequency (CGP Part 4.2):

☑ At least once every 7 calendar days; **OR**

☐ Once every 14 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Increased Frequency (CGP Part 4.3.1) (If site discharges to sediment or nutrient-impaired waters or to waters designated as Tier 2, Tier 2.5, or Tier 3):

☐ Once every 7 calendar days *and* within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:

- A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
- A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period

Reduced Frequency (CGP Part 4.4):

- ☐ For stabilized areas: Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once per month after first month until permit coverage is terminated
- ☐ For stabilized areas on "linear construction sites": Twice during first month, no more than 14 calendar days apart; then once more within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For arid, semi-arid, or drought-stricken areas during seasonally dry periods or during drought: Once per month and within 24 hours of the occurrence of either:
  - A storm event that produces 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period, or
  - A snowmelt discharge from a storm event that produces 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period
- ☐ For frozen conditions where construction activities are being conducted: Once per month

Was this inspection triggered by a storm event producing 0.25 inches or more of rain within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 0.25 inches or more of rain?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total rainfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Was this inspection triggered by a snowmelt discharge from a storm event producing 3.25 inches or more of snow within a 24-hour period? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," how did you determine whether the storm produced 3.25 inches or more of snow?

- ☐ On-site rain gauge
- ☐ Weather station representative of site.
  Weather station location:

Total snowfall amount that triggered the inspection (inches):

Section B – Condition and Effectiveness of Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Controls (CGP Part 2.2) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of E&S Control	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. ~~Perimeter Wattles~~ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2. ~~Stewart St~~ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3. Sweet Ave	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		—
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

[1] Routine maintenance includes minor repairs or other upkeep performed to ensure that the site's stormwater controls remain in effective operating condition, not including significant repairs or the need to install a new or replacement control. Routine maintenance is also required for specific conditions: (1) for perimeter controls, whenever sediment has accumulated to half or more the above-ground height of the control (CGP Part 2.2.3.c.i); (2) where sediment has been tracked-out from the site onto paved roads, sidewalks, or other paved areas (CGP Part 2.2.4.d); (3) for inlet protection measures, when sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or performance is compromised (CGP Part 2.2.10.b); and (4) for sediment basins, as necessary to maintain at least half of the design capacity of the basin (CGP Part 2.2.12.f)

[2] Corrective actions are triggered only for specific conditions (CGP Part 5.1):

1. A stormwater control needs a significant repair or a new or replacement control is needed, or, in accordance with Part 2.1.4.c, you find it necessary to repeatedly (i.e., three (3) or more times) conduct the same routine maintenance fix to the same control at the same location (unless you document in your inspection report under Part 4.7.1.c that the specific reoccurrence of this same problem should still be addressed as a routine maintenance fix under 2.1.4); or
2. A stormwater control necessary to comply with the requirements of this permit was never installed, or was installed incorrectly; or
3. Your discharges are not meeting applicable water quality standards; or
4. A prohibited discharge has occurred (see CGP Part 1.3); or
5. During the discharge from site dewatering activities:
   a. The weekly average of your turbidity monitoring results exceeds the 50 NTU benchmark (or alternate benchmark if approved by EPA pursuant to Part 3.3.2.b); or
   b. You observe or you are informed by EPA, State, or local authorities of the presence of the conditions specified in Part 4.6.3.e.

[3] If a condition on your site requires a corrective action, you must also fill out a corrective action log found at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/construction-general-permit-resources-tools-and-templates. See CGP Part 5.4 for more information.

Section C – Condition and Effectiveness of Pollution Prevention (P2) Practices and Controls (CGP Part 2.3) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Type and Location of P2 Practices and Controls	**Conditions Requiring Routine Maintenance?[1]**	**If "Yes," How Many Times (Including This Occurrence) Has This Condition Been Identified?**	**Conditions Requiring Corrective Action?[2, 3]**	**Date on Which Condition First Observed (If Applicable)?**	**Description of Conditions Observed**
1. N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
2.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
3.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
4.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
5.	☐ Yes ☐ No		☐ Yes ☐ No		
If the same routine maintenance was found to be necessary three or more times for the same control at the same location (including this occurrence), follow the corrective action requirements and record the required information in your corrective action log, or describe here why you believe the specific condition should still be addressed as routine maintenance:					

Section D – Stabilization of Exposed Soil (CGP Part 2.2.14) (Insert additional rows if needed)					
Specific Location That Has Been or Will Be Stabilized	**Stabilization Method and Applicable Deadline**	**Stabilization Initiated?**	**Final Stabilization Criteria Met?**	**Final Stabilization Photos Taken?**	**Notes**
1. ~~N/A~~ Bldg perimeter · landscape. · sidewalks	sidewalks landscape (Rocks* plants)	☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated: ~~8/24/23~~	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	· small portion of sidewalk pending. approx 2wks out.
2. Retention Pond	Rockwall 95%.	☑ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☒ No	in process pending plants/trees inside.
3.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	
5.		☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date initiated:	☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes," date criteria met:	☐ Yes ☐ No	

[4] If a dewatering discharge was occurring, you must conduct a dewatering inspection pursuant to CGP Part 4.3.2 and complete a separate dewatering inspection report.

Section E – Description of Discharges (CGP Part 4.6.2)

(Insert additional rows if needed)

Was a discharge (not including dewatering) occurring from any part of your site at the time of the inspection?[4] ☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes," for each point of discharge, document the following:

- The visual quality of the discharge.
- The characteristics of the discharge, including color; odor; floating, settled, or suspended solids; foam; oil sheen; and other indicators of stormwater pollutants.
- Signs of the above pollutant characteristics that are visible from your site and attributable to your discharge in receiving waters or in other constructed or natural site drainage features.

Discharge Location	Observations
1. Concrete Washout	N/A
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

Section F – Signature and Certification (CGP Part 4.7.2)

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I have no personal knowledge that the information submitted is other than true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

MANDATORY: Signature of Operator or "Duly Authorized Representative:"	
Signature:	**Date:** 6.25.26
Printed Name: Jazmin Z. Marquez	**Affiliation:** ESA Construction
OPTIONAL: Signature of Contractor or Subcontractor	
Signature:	**Date:**
Printed Name:	**Affiliation:**

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Thomas and Brown

NMSU Thomas and Brown Building Replacement

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 06-30-2026
Time: 12:19 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-15-2026 0.46" (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☐	☐	☒	Under construction
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	Sediment and debris seen around inlet
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out. Will be installed at the time of starting concrete work
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Stockpiles are being actively worked.
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Inspection reports need to be signed by operator

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

BRADBURY STAMM CONSTRUCTION

BRADBURY STAMM - NMSU THOMAS & BROWN BUILDING REPLACEMENT

NMR10071Y, NMR1007I

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
North perimeter	Maintenance	Repair or replace filter sock	06-15-2026		
West inlet	Maintenance	Remove sediment and debris and reattach inlet protection	06-15-2026	06-30-2026	
Unsigned inspection reports	Corrective Action	Inspection reports need to be signed by operator	06-04-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 06-30-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - North perimeter





Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 06-15-2026
Time: 2:18 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos
Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC
Current Weather Conditions: Moderate Rain Showers (Numerous) and Moderate Rain Showers (Scattered)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-15-2026 0.46" (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☐	☐	☒	Under construction
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	Sediment and debris seen around inlet
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out. Will be installed at the time of starting concrete work
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Stockpiles are being actively worked.
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Inspection reports need to be signed by operator

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
North perimeter	Maintenance	Repair or replace filter sock	06-15-2026		
West inlet	Maintenance	Remove sediment and debris and reattach inlet protection	06-15-2026		
Unsigned inspection reports	Corrective Action	Inspection reports need to be signed by operator	06-04-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 06-15-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - North perimeter



Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West inlet

Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 06-04-2026
Time: 1:12 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos
Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC
Current Weather Conditions: Light Rain Showers (Chance) and Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-03-2026 0.95" (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☐	☐	☒	Under construction
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	Sediment and debris seen around inlet
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out. Will be installed at the time of starting concrete work
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Stockpiles are being actively worked.
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Inspection reports need to be signed by operator

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

BRADBURY STAMM CONSTRUCTION

BRADBURY STAMM - NMSU THOMAS & BROWN BUILDING REPLACEMENT

NMR10071Y, NMR1007I

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Right side of entrance	Maintenance	Pick up and dispose of filter sock remains properly. Repair or replace filter sock	06-02-2026		
NW Corner	Maintenance	Repair or replace filter sock	06-04-2026		
West inlet	Maintenance	Remove sediment and debris from around inlet	06-04-2026		
Unsigned inspection reports	Corrective Action	Inspection reports need to be signed by operator	06-04-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 06-04-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NW Corner

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West inlet

Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 06-02-2026
Time: 2:43 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-02-2026 .56" (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☐	☐	☒	Under construction
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain Removed berms
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in drain
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out. Will be installed at the time of starting concrete work
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☐	☐	☒	
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Right side of entrance	Maintenance	Pick up and dispose of filter sock remains properly. Repair or replace filter sock	06-02-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 06-02-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Right side of entrance

Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 05-19-2026
Time: 12:02 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-02-2026 .56" (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 05-19-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 05-05-2026
Time: 1:36 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 05-02-2026 .56" (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☐	☒	

BRADBURY STAMM CONSTRUCTION

BRADBURY STAMM - NMSU THOMAS & BROWN BUILDING REPLACEMENT

NMR10071Y, NMR1007I

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Rain event .56"

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BU 05-05-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos



Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 04-21-2026
Time: 11:55 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 04-21-2026

Name Signature Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine

Date: 04-07-2026

Time: 12:22 PM

Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I

Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga

Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector

Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Chance) and Light Rain Showers (Slight Chance)

Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☐	☒	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☐	☒	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☐	☒	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☐	☒	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☐	☒	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☐	☒	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Early Inspection due to scheduling

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 04-07-2026

Name Signature Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 03-25-2026
Time: 11:59 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 03-25-2026

Name Signature Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 03-11-2026
Time: 11:46 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BL 03-11-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 02-25-2026
Time: 10:36 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 02-25-2026

Name Signature Date

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 02-11-2026
Time: 1:23 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 02-11-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 01-26-2026
Time: 2:58 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos
Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC
Current Weather Conditions:
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 01-23-2026 1.50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
West perimeter	Maintenance	Ensure there are no gaps in sediment controls along fenceline	01-26-2026		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 01-26-2026

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West perimeter

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 01-14-2026
Time: 11:29 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 .50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	Removed wash out
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 01-14-2026

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 12-31-2025
Time: 11:43 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions:
Light Rain Showers (Slight Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 .50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 12-31-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 12-17-2025
Time: 11:09 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 .50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☒	☐	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 12-17-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 12-03-2025
Time: 10:55 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 .50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
NE corner of new structure	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	11-20-2025	12-03-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 12-03-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 11-20-2025
Time: 1:32 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos
Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC
Current Weather Conditions: Light Rain Showers (Definite) and Light Rain Showers (Likely)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 11-20-2025 .50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
NE corner of new structure	Maintenance	Remove washout from ground and place in designated leakproof container	11-20-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 11-20-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NE corner of new structure

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 11-19-2025
Time: 10:44 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Light Rain Showers (Likely) and Light Rain Showers (Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 .75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation	11-19-2025	
Construction of Structure	11-19-2025	
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 11-19-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 11-05-2025
Time: 10:10 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 .75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 11-05-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 10-22-2025
Time: 8:58 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 .75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 10-22-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 08-27-2025
Time: 10:09 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 .25 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Concrete and dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga DC 08-27-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 09-24-2025
Time: 10:22 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 .75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
East perimeter	Maintenance	Repair or replace filter sock	09-17-2025	09-24-2025	
West inlet	Maintenance	Remove sediment and debris and reattach inlet protection	09-17-2025	09-24-2025	
East Perimeter	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025	09-24-2025	
NE Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025	09-24-2025	

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga — 09-24-2025

Name — Signature — Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 10-08-2025
Time: 10:25 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Chance) and Light Rain Showers (Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 .75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 10-08-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 09-17-2025
Time: 2:01 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos
Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC
Current Weather Conditions: Light Rain Showers (Chance) and Light Rain Showers (Slight Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 09-16-2025 .75 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☐	☒	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☐	☒	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☐	☒	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
East perimeter	Maintenance	Repair or replace filter sock	09-17-2025		
West inlet	Maintenance	Remove sediment and debris and reattach inlet protection	09-17-2025		
East Perimeter	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		
NE Entrance	Maintenance	Remove sediment	09-17-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 09-17-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - East perimeter

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - West inlet

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - East Perimeter

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - NE Entrance

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 09-10-2025
Time: 9:55 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Clear and dry
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 .25 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Dirt being swept up from street

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 09-10-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 08-13-2025
Time: 10:21 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Isolated)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 .25 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Concrete and dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

BRADBURY STAMM CONSTRUCTION

BRADBURY STAMM - NMSU THOMAS & BROWN BUILDING REPLACEMENT

NMR10071Y, NMR1007I

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga 08-13-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine **Date:** 07-30-2025 **Time:** 10:49 AM

Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I **Inspector Name:** Brandon Colunga **Qualifications:** SWPPP Inspector

Current Weather Conditions: **Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event:** 07-28-2025 .25 (undefined)
Thunderstorms (Scattered) and Thunderstorms (Isolated)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Concrete and dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Weddell Dr.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from roadway	07-23-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 07-30-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Storm Event
Date: 07-29-2025
Time: 3:12 PM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Mathew F. Vallejos
Qualifications: CPESC, CISEC
Current Weather Conditions: Moderate Rain Showers (Definite) and Moderate Rain Showers (Numerous)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 07-28-2025 .25 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☐	☒	☐	
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Concrete and dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name Signature Date

Operator: Rene Pineda

Name Signature Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials
Weddell Dr.	Maintenance	Remove sediment from roadway	07-23-2025		

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Mathew F. Vallejos 07-29-2025

Name Signature Date

Site Walk Deficiency Photos - Weddell Dr.

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 07-16-2025
Time: 9:36 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Slight Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-26-2025 .50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☒	☐	☐	Dumpster on parking lot
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	Shoveling and sweeping dirt off streets
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Concrete and dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: Kevin Sanchez

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector: Brandon Colunga BC 07-16-2025

Name Signature Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Storm Water Compliance Inspection Form

PO Box 400
Los Lunas, NM 87042
www.greenglobenm.com

Inspection Type: Routine
Date: 07-02-2025
Time: 10:42 AM
Permit Tracking #: NMR10071Y, NMR1007I
Inspector Name: Brandon Colunga
Qualifications: SWPPP Inspector
Current Weather Conditions: Thunderstorms (Slight Chance) and Thunderstorms (Chance)
Date and Amount of Last Recordable Storm Event: 06-26-2025 .50 (undefined)

Construction Time Line:

Action	Start Date	Date Complete
Initial BMP Installation	03-12-2025	03-12-2025
Clearing and Grubbing	03-12-2025	
Utility Installation		
Construction of Structure		
Final Stabilization		

Site Walk:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is there a proper posting sign?	☒	☐	☐	Alongside fence on Sweet Ave
Are areas that have been disturbed, but not under construction been properly stabilized?	☒	☐	☐	Fence around perimeter
Velocity Reduction Devices i.e. Check Dams, Berms, Etc.	☒	☐	☐	Berms has been added to to redirect water towards storm drain
Silt Fence	☐	☒	☐	
Wattles/Filter Sock	☒	☐	☐	Filter sock around perimeter
Inlet Protection	☒	☐	☐	Ertec in place
Cut Back Curbs	☐	☒	☐	
Waste Management	☐	☒	☐	
Vehicle Tracking Control	☐	☒	☐	Paved entrance
Material Storage	☒	☐	☐	connex on site
Dust Control	☒	☐	☐	
Street Sweeping	☒	☐	☐	Shoveling and sweeping dirt off streets
Construction Washouts i.e. Concrete, Paint, Stucco Etc.	☐	☒	☐	
Discharge Points	☒	☐	☐	
Sanitary Stations	☒	☐	☐	Porta jon on site
Stockpiles	☒	☐	☐	Concrete and dirt stockpiles being cleaned
Other	☐	☒	☐	

SWPPP Information:

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comment
Is the SWPPP kept on site?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the SWPPP updated at the time of the inspection?	☒	☐	☐	
Are all certification pages signed?	☒	☐	☐	
Are inspector qualifications in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a copy of the NOI and Acknowledgement letter?	☒	☐	☐	
Is there a delegation letter in the SWPPP?	☒	☐	☐	
Was the last inspection/CAL certified?	☐	☒	☐	Sign report

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

Owner: NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

Name | Signature | Date

Operator: Kevin Sanchez

Name | Signature | Date

Additional Comments:

Action Log:

Location	Action Type	Action Required	Date Noted	Date Completed	Initials

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Inspector:	Brandon Colunga		07-02-2025
	Name	Signature	Date

Inspection Photos

Rain gage 6-26-25

Question Number	BMP	Description
4A 4C	4-3	Inspection Reports for Sam Steel Pond Campus wide drainage

NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Project, Sam Steel Pond

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 6/22/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

87°F 0% chance of precipitation

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☐ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☑ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability		✓			
8. Stabilized construction entrance		✓			
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition		✓			
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site		✓			CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS erosion @ pond outlets & by southside Storm Steel w/ grass ~~areas~~ areas

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: Pond slopes, rill & gulley erosion; part of change order erosion protection

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form. N/A

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- ☑ Site in compliance
- ☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: _____ Date: 6/22/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: _____ Date: 6-22-26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvement

Inspector: René Molina Date: 6/15/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

post 0.25" rain event, 82°F 10% chance of rain

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☐ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☑ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				muddy areas due to rain, rain gage registered 0.7"
7. Porta-potty stability		✓			
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition		✓			
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site		✓			CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS erosion at pond outlets, pipe/headwall

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: Pond slopes will need reshaping but is in the works with owner, NMSU

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: _____ Date: 6/15/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: _____ Date: 6/16/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 4/13/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

64°F Cloudy 0% Chance of rain

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [ ] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions		✓			
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes		✓			
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness		✓			
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance		✓			
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns: Pick up water bottles by portapotty

Maintenance: Needed maintenance, report construction signage, remove concrete work by Stewart St

Comments: This shall be completed within a weeks time frame

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form. N/A

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: [signature] Date: 4/13/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: [signature] Date: 4·13·26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 4/27/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

56°F 0% chance of rain

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [ ] No sediment tracking evident
- [x] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions		✓			
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability		✓			
8. Stabilized construction entrance		✓			Being placed today at new location
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: Construction entrance

Comments: rock entrance will be installed today

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):
N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form. N/A

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: [signature] Date: 4/27/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: [signature] Date: 4.29.2026.

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 6/18/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

75°F partially cloudy 0% chance of rain

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☐ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☑ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability		✓			
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition		✓			
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site		✓			CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS erosion at pond outlets, headwall

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: minimal maintenance needed, pond holding water can't get in

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: _____ Date: 6/8/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: _____ Date: 6/8/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus W. Je Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molmen Date: 6/4/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

cloudy 72°F 20% chance of rain rain gauge 0.7"

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☐ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☑ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes	✓				
5. Washout basin		✓			
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability		✓			
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition		✓			
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site		✓			CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS erosion at pond outlets, headwall

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: _____

Comments: Small maintenance needed

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: _____ Date: 6/4/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: _____ Date: 10/8/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU D Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 5/28/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

75°F 0% chance of rain inspection is 3 days post rain with 0.3"

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- ☐ No erosion or sedimentation problems
- ☑ Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time. See outfall notes
- ☐ Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- ☑ No pollution problems evident
- ☐ Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- ☐ Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- ☑ No sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Sediment tracking evident
- ☐ Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- ☑ Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- ☐ Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions		✓			
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes		✓			
5. Washout basin	✓				
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness		✓			
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition		✓			
10. Paved road surface condition		✓			
11. Retention pond	✓				
12. Outfalls or discharge from site		✓			CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS Two outlets within regional pond

1 have erosion. Erosion is associated with lack of stabilized outlet. NMSU should be made aware. They may ~~want~~ want to add erosion control such as directed riprap.

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, continued

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: There is a need for outfall maintenance to regional pond but these need to be discussed with owner, Outfall protection is likely necessary, such as corrected rip rap.

Comments: These are not associated with ~~our~~ current construction activities.

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

N/A

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

☐ Site in compliance

☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: _____ Date: 5/28/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: _____ Date: 5.28.26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 3/30/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions		✓			
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes		✓		✓	
5. Washout basin		✓			will need maintenance
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness		✓			
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance		✓			
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond		✓			
12. Outfalls or discharge from site		✓			CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: Testing lab dropped small amount on concrete on site

Comments: Lab has been directed to waste concrete in washout area

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift): N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: Date: 3/30/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature:  Date: 3·30·26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 3/2/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

Clear & Sunny 61°F No precipitation expected

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes		✓			
5. Washout basin				✓	
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond		✓			
12. Outfalls or discharge from site	✓				CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: N/A

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

N/A

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- [x] Site in compliance
- [ ] Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: Jesse Parra

Signature: [signature] Date: 3/2/2026

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: Date:

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN
INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE REPORT FORM

To be completed every 7 or 14 days as required and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.25 inches or greater

PROJECT NAME/ADDRESS: NMSU Campus Wide Drainage Improvements

Inspector: René Molina Date: 2/16/2026

Inspector's Qualifications: NMPE 19735

Describe weather conditions during inspection (temp/precip.) and recent rain events:

60°F 0% chance of precipitation

SECTION 1: General observations of all disturbed areas (Check One):

- [x] No erosion or sedimentation problems
- [ ] Erosion or sedimentation problems are developing, but no additional control measures needed at this time.
- [ ] Erosion or sediment problems are evident and additional control measures needed as soon as practicable (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 2: General observations of Storage Areas (materials) exposed to precipitation (Check One):

- [x] No pollution problems evident
- [ ] Potential pollution problems are evident; preventative action needed (describe in Section 6).
- [ ] Evidence of pollution problem seen; clean-up needed immediately (describe in Section 6).

SECTION 3: Off-site Pollution (Check One):

- [x] No sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Sediment tracking evident
- [ ] Evidence of discharge (if checked, describe in Section 6).

SECTION 4: SWPPP Revision (Check One):

- [x] Plan does NOT require revision based on this inspection
- [ ] Plan DOES require revision based on this inspection (must be revised within 7 days)

SECTION 5: Detailed inspection of BMPs and other controls

	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	Comments
1. General site conditions	✓				
2. Silt fencing/Filter sock Straw wattle				✓	
3. Drop inlet protection				✓	
4. Earth berms/dikes		✓			
5. Washout basin				✓	
6. Storage/lay down/trash area cleanliness	✓				
7. Porta-potty stability	✓				
8. Stabilized construction entrance	✓				
9. Curb and gutter condition	✓				
10. Paved road surface condition	✓				
11. Retention pond		✓			
12. Outfalls or discharge from site		✓			CHECK ALL DISCHARGE POINTS

SECTION 6: Maintenance performed, comments and concerns:

Maintenance: N/A

Comments:

SECTION 7: Out of Compliance Items to be Corrected by Next Shift:
List those items noted during the inspection that require corrective action and will be corrected by the end of this work shift (by next shift):

N/A

SECTION 8: Out of Compliance Items that will not be Corrected by Next Shift:
For those out of compliance items that cannot be corrected immediately, a Corrective Action Request will be initiated (CAR form, Att. E). Corrections and repairs must be operational no later than 7 calendar days from time of discovery.

N/A

For those items where it is infeasible to complete the installation within 7 calendar days, you must document why it is infeasible to complete them within the timeframe and document the schedule for installation as soon as practicable on the CAR form.

SECTION 9: Compliance Status (Check One):

- ☑ Site in compliance
- ☐ Site not in compliance, issues noted in Sections 6-8 to be corrected in accordance with CGP Para 2.1.1.4.b schedule; next work day, 7 days, or a schedule with implementation dates.

SECTION 10: Signature of SWPP Inspector:

Printed name: René Molina

Signature: _____ Date: 2/16/2026

SECTION 11: SWPP Inspection Certification & Signatures:
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Contractor/Subcontractor Certification & Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name: JOSEPH GUTIERREZ

Signature: _____ Date: 2/16/26

Permittee (Owner) Certification and Signature (or "Duly Authorized Representative"):

Printed name:

Signature: _____ Date:

ATTACHMENT 3

Illicit Discharge, Detection, and Elimination

Contents

Question Number	BMP	Attachment Description
5A	3-1	Outfall Map
5C, 5E, 5G	3-2	Outfall Inspection Log
5C, 5E, 5G	3-2	Outfall screening data
5J, 5K	3-6	Detected Discharges within MS4 Reports and Response

Question Number	BMP	Description
5A	3-1	Outfall Map

NMSU Storm Water Outfall map

Accessed at the below link.

GIS mapping completed in 2024

https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/3bf64353b72b49899e7bf49106b027dc

Numbering changed to reflect outfall changes and additions from references in previous MS4 reporting

This map shows the outfall on the New Mexico State University main campus. To view pictures of outfalls simply click on point feature to view its attachments.

Click on the stacked squares above to view the map layers tab. Labels may be turned on and off on this tab.

Click on the four squares above to change the basemap.

Below is a zoomed in section of NM001 through NM023


RP0001
RP0002
RP0003
RP0004
RP0005
RP0006
RP0007
RP0008
RP0009
RP0010
RP0011
RP0012
RP0013
RP0014
RP0015
RP0016
RP0017
RP0018
RP0019
RP0020
LOT 27
LOT 29
LOT 31
LOT 32
LOT 33
LOT 28
PAN AMERICAN CENTER 284
Barbara Bickford Way
Maxar, Microsoft

Question Number	BMP	Description
5C, 5E, 5G	3-2	Outfall Inspection Log

July 1, 2023 - June 30, 2024 NMSU Outfall Inspection Log

Outfall Number	Date	Time	Inspector Name(s)	Last Rain Occurred	Flow	Sheen	Foam	Color	Floating Solids	Odor	Susp'd Solids	Flow Direction	Origin of Flow	Illicit Discharge (Yes or No)	Type of Illicit Discharge	Allowable Discharge (Yes or No)	Type of Allowable Discharge	Cleaning Needed (Yes or No)	Illegal Dumping (Yes or No)	Comments
NM001	10/27/2023	**2:51:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM002	10/27/2023	**2:51:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM003	10/17/2023	**12:37:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM004	10/17/2023	**12:37:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM005	10/17/2023	**12:37:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM006	10/17/2023	**12:36:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM007	10/17/2023	**12:36:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM008	10/17/2023	**12:36:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM009	10/17/2023	**12:34:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM010	10/17/2023	**12:35:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM011	10/17/2023	**12:35:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM012	10/17/2023	**12:35:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM013	10/17/2023	**1:14:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM014	10/17/2023	**1:14:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM015	10/17/2023	**12:28:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM016	10/17/2023	**9:41:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM017	10/17/2023	**9:41:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM018	10/17/2023	**9:41:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM019	10/17/2023	**9:41:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM020	10/17/2023	**9:41:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM021	10/17/2023	**9:39:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM022	10/17/2023	**9:15:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM023	10/31/2023	**2:18:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM024	3/27/2024	**10:19:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	Maintenance required, W/O submitted. Reinspected 4/30/24
NM025	3/27/2024	**10:20:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM026	3/27/2024	**10:20:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM027	3/27/2024	**10:21:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM028a	3/27/2024	**10:21:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM028	3/27/2024	**10:22:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM029	1/31/2024	**3:06:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM030	1/31/2024	**3:06:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM031	1/31/2024	**3:06:00 PM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM032	4/30/2024	**9:07:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM033	4/30/2024	**9:11:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM034	4/30/2024	**9:17:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**No**	No	
NM035	4/30/2024	**9:17:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**Yes**	No	Significant sediment buildup Vegitative growth
NM036	4/30/2024	**9:25:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**Yes**	No	Significant sediment buildup Vegitative growth
NM037	4/30/2024	**9:21:00 AM**	Karl Dykman	**More than 3 days**	None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	**No**	N/A	**No**	**N/A**	**Yes**	No	Outfall will be addressed according to SW Master Plan

Question Number	BMP	Description
5C, 5E, 5G	3-2	Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:13 **Outfall Number:** NM001

Outfall Location: Trivis/University intersection

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:14 **Outfall Number:** NM002

Outfall Location: Pan-Am Center at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:15 **Outfall Number:** NM003

Outfall Location: Pan-Am Center at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:15 **Outfall Number:** NM004

Outfall Location: Pan-Am Center at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:15 **Outfall Number:** NM005

Outfall Location: Student Education Services at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain: Incidental leaf litter will be removed during next mowing cycle

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:16 **Outfall Number:** NM006

Outfall Location: Student Education Services at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain: Incidental leaf litter will be removed during next mowing cycle

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:16 **Outfall Number:** NM007/NM008

Outfall Location: Student Education Services at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:17 **Outfall Number:** NM009

Outfall Location: Pan_American Center at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____Leaf Litter will be remover during next mowing cycle_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:17 **Outfall Number:** NM010

Outfall Location: Pan_American Center at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:18 **Outfall Number:** NM011/NM012

Outfall Location: Pan_American Center at College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____ Leaf Litter will be removed during the next mowing cycle__

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:19 **Outfall Number:** NM013

Outfall Location: Parking Lot 33 curb cut structure to College Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:19 **Outfall Number:** NM014

Outfall Location: Parking Lot 33 drop inlet via pipe to college Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:19 **Outfall Number:** NM015

Outfall Location: Parking Lot 33 curb cut via cobbles to college Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain: Leaf litter will be removed during next mowing cycle

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:20 **Outfall Number:** NM016

Outfall Location: Parking Lot 33 via sluice structure to college Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:20 **Outfall Number:** NM017

Outfall Location: Parking Lot 33 via sluice structure to college Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:20 **Outfall Number:** NM018

Outfall Location: Parking Lot 33 via sluice structure to college Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:21 **Outfall Number:** NM019

Outfall Location: Parking Lot 33 via sluice structure to college Arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:21 **Outfall Number:** NM020

Outfall Location: College Arroyo box inlet under Stewart St.

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:13 **Outfall Number:** NM021

Outfall Location: College Arroyo inlet from City of Las Cruces under University Ave

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:30 **Outfall Number:** NM022

Outfall Location: College Arroyo passage under Wells St.to South continuation

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-07-2025

Time: 14:31 **Outfall Number:** NM023

Outfall Location: College Arroyo poly-pipe from tennis court runoff into arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 04-03-2025

Time: 08:29 **Outfall Number:** NM024

Outfall Location: College Arroyo poly-pipe from stadium runoff into arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 04-03-2025

Time: 08:34 **Outfall Number:** NM025

Outfall Location: Asphaltic paved swale Wells Dr. South side runoff into arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 04-03-2025

Time: 08:35 **Outfall Number:** NM026

Outfall Location: Poly pipe overflow from lot retention pond runoff into arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 04-03-2025

Time: 08:40 **Outfall Number:** NM027

Outfall Location: Asphaltic paved swale on North side of Wells St. runoff into arroyo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 04-03-2025

Time: 08:41 **Outfall Number:** NM028

Outfall Location: North side drop inlet into Tortugas Arroyo at Wells and Arrowhead, opens into culvert.

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 04-03-2025

Time: 08:42 **Outfall Number:** NM028a

Outfall Location: Sisbarro Park swale drain, delivers to NMSU028 North side drop inlet into Tortugas Arroyo.

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-12-2025

Time: 07:57 **Outfall Number:** NM029

Outfall Location: South end of Tortugas Arroyo, General Dynamics lot overflow.

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-12-2025

Time: 07:59 **Outfall Number:** NM030

Outfall Location: South end of Tortugas Arroyo, Arrowhead Park lot overflow.

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02-12-2025

Time: 07:56 **Outfall Number:** NM031

Outfall Location: South end of Tortugas Arroyo, EBID Retention overflow.

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____

_____

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

_____

_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02/12/25

Time: 09:25AM **Outfall Number:** NMSU032

Outfall Location: East end inlet to Swale/culvert system South side of College Ave

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:___

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:___

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02/12/25

Time: 09:30AM **Outfall Number:** NMSU033

Outfall Location: West end inlet from College Ave. to L.C. City system at El Paseo

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:___

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:___

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 02/12/25

Time: 09:34AM **Outfall Number:** NMSU034

Outfall Location: Stewart St. inverse crown inlet to Sam Steele Pond retention

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes No X

If yes, explain:___

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment:_____ Debris:_____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:___

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 06/04/25

Time: 01:37 PM **Outfall Number:** NMSU035

Outfall Location: North side sediment trap inlet to Sam Steel Pond

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes X No

If yes, explain: Significant plant growth may have compromised basin

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes X No

Sediment: Significant sedimentation Debris: Vegetative overgrowth Trash:_____

If yes, explain: Work order submitted to have catchment area cleaned prior to addressing pond volume per Strom Water Master Plan recommendation to increase 100 yr. storm retention and to assess potential compromise of structure

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 06/05/25

Time: 01:38PM **Outfall Number:** NMSU036

Outfall Location: South side sediment trap inlet to Sam Steel Pond

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes X No

If yes, explain: Significant plant growth may have compromised basin

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes X No

Sediment: Significant sedimentation Debris: Vegetative overgrowth Trash:_____

If yes, explain: Work order submitted to have catchment area cleaned prior to addressing pond volume per Strom Water Master Plan recommendation to increase 100 yr. storm retention and to assess potential compromise of structure

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Comments:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Outfall Screening Data

Name: Karl Dykman **Date:** 06/05/25

Time: 01:37PM **Outfall Number:** NMSU037

Outfall Location: Overflow discharge from Sam Steele Pond to L.C. City MS4 system

Last Rain Occurred: <24 Hours <3 Days More than 3 Days X

Flow: None X Trickle <Half Capacity Half Capacity >Half Capacity Full Capacity

If flow is present, collect a sample in a clean, glass jar and complete the following evaluation:

Sheen:_____ Foam:_____ Color:_____

Floating Solids:_____ Odor:_____ Suspended Solids:_____

Flow Direction:_____ Suspected Origin of Flow:_____

Illicit Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Motor Vehicle Fluids	☐ Wastewater from Pavement/Exterior Building Washing with Soap, Detergent, Solvent, or Degreaser	☐ Concrete Washout
☐ Household Hazardous Waste	☐ Material that will Damage, Block, or Clog the MS4	☐ Wastewater from Animal Pen or Kennel
☐ Domestic Sewage or Septic Tank Waste, Grease Trap Waste	☐ Rubble, Debris, Tile, Concrete, Brick, Asphalt or Other Building Material	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Vehicle Washing, Cleaning, or Maintenance
☐ Wastewater from Commercial Mobile Power Washer	☐ Wastewater from Commercial Floor, Rug, or Carpet Cleaning	☐ Other:_____

Allowable Discharges (Check Applicable Discharge Type):

☐ Water from Line Flushing (but not Allowed if Hyper-Chlorinated)	☐ Run-off from Landscape Irrigation and Lawn Watering	☐ Dechlorinated Swimming Pool Water
☐ Discharges from Emergency Fire Fighting Activities	☐ Water from Foundation or Footing Drains	☐ Air Conditioning Condensation
☐ Uncontaminated Groundwater	☐ Discharges from Potable Water Sources	☐ Water from Crawl Space Pumps
☐ Individual Residential Car Washing	☐ Flows from Riperian Habitats, Springs, or Wetlands	☐ Other:_____

Does the Outfall Require Maintenance/Repair? Yes X No

If yes, explain: Structure currently requires manual operation in the event of overfilling of pond. Storm Water Master Plan recommends automatic operation. Modification of structure scheduled pending funding

Does the Outfall Need Cleaning? Yes No X

Sediment: _____ Debris: _____ Trash:_____

If yes, explain:_____.

Is Illegal Dumping Occurring? Yes No X

If yes, explain:_____

Outfall Screening Data

Comments: Project Development and Engineering will address this per recommendations in Storm Water Master Plan study commissioned in 2023-2024

Question Number	BMP	Description
5J	3-6	Discharge Incident Response

Karl Dykman

From: Montoya, Elias <Elias.Montoya@epelectric.com>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 4:57 PM
To: Karl Dykman
Cc: Andrew Kaczmarek; Wayne L Savage
Subject: RE: Aggie Power project status
Attachments: RE: Aggie Power site update request

WARNING This email originated external to the NMSU email system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.

Hi Karl,

Please see email attached.

Regards,

Elias Montoya, MSEE | El Paso Electric
Principal Engineer Renewables Development
P.O. Box 982 | El Paso, Texas 79960
P: (915) 543-4336
C: (915) 487-3733
Elias.Montoya@epelectric.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this electronic mail transmission is CONFIDENTIAL, and it may qualify as material protected pursuant to the Texas Uniform Trade Secrets Act, the New Mexico Uniform Trade Secrets Act, or other laws. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy/delete this message and any attachments and immediately notify the sender.

From: Karl Dykman <k3dykman@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 10:06 AM
To: Montoya, Elias <Elias.Montoya@epelectric.com>
Cc: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>; Wayne L Savage <waynes@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Aggie Power project status

Notice: This email originated outside of EPE, exercise caution with links and attachments.
This email came from outside the organization, were you expecting it? **Think before you Click!**

Goor Morning Elias.
Can you please bring me up to speed regarding the next phase of the maintenance work at the Aggie Power Project?
When I last saw you on site there was some discussion about the engineered arroyo that diverts water flows around the site.
When I left the site there was an ongoing exchange about what activities, in what areas, and access management between you and the contractor.
Has your organization created a schedule for that work and is there something we at NMSU can do to facilitate?

Thank you.

Karl

Karl Dykman CSP
Env.Mgt.
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Managment
New Mexico State University
Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
(575) 646-3327
Safety.nmsu.edu

Be Bold. Shape the Future.
Be Safe. Manage Risk and Prevent Loss

Please print or type.

Form Approved. OMB No. 2050-0039

UNIFORM HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

1. Generator ID Number	2. Page 1 of	3. Emergency Response Phone	4. Manifest Tracking Number
[illegible]	1	321-870-3514	027359594 JJK

GENERATOR

5. Generator's Name and Mailing Address
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
[illegible] DEPT 3575 MSC 3AP
[illegible]
Generator's Phone: [illegible]

Generator's Site Address (if different than mailing address)
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
1620 STANDLEY DRIVE
LAS CRUCES, NM [illegible]

6. Transporter 1 Company Name
Daniels Chemical Transport LLC
U.S. EPA ID Number
CAD000070510

7. Transporter 2 Company Name
U.S. EPA ID Number

8. Designated Facility Name and Site Address
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible], TX 75[illegible]
Facility's Phone: (832) 361-4132
U.S. EPA ID Number
NMD[illegible]

9a. HM	9b. U.S. DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, ID Number, and Packing Group (if any))	10. Containers No.	10. Containers Type	11. Total Quantity	12. Unit Wt./Vol.	13. Waste Codes
	1. [illegible]	1	CM	20	YD	[illegible]
	2.					
	3.					
	4.					

14. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information
PUBLIC SERVICES CUSTOMER # 304121
EXPIRES: 06/30/2026
D740037 SLOH5784403
BINH-OCT4020

15. **GENERATOR'S/OFFEROR'S CERTIFICATION:** I hereby declare that the contents of this consignment are fully and accurately described above by the proper shipping name, and are classified, packaged, marked and labeled/placarded, and are in all respects in proper condition for transport according to applicable international and national governmental regulations. If export shipment and I am the Primary Exporter, I certify that the contents of this consignment conform to the terms of the attached EPA Acknowledgment of Consent.
I certify that the waste minimization statement identified in 40 CFR 262.27(a) (if I am a large quantity generator) or (b) (if I am a small quantity generator) is true.

Generator's/Offeror's Printed/Typed Name: Andrew Kaczmarek
Signature: Andrew Kaczmarek
Month 07 Day 09 Year 25

INT'L

16. International Shipments ☐ Import to U.S. ☐ Export from U.S. Port of entry/exit:
Transporter signature (for exports only): Date leaving U.S.:

TRANSPORTER

17. Transporter Acknowledgment of Receipt of Materials

Transporter 1 Printed/Typed Name: Fernando Montes
Signature:
Month 07 Day 09 Year 25

Transporter 2 Printed/Typed Name
Month Day Year

DESIGNATED FACILITY

18. Discrepancy

18a. Discrepancy Indication Space ☐ Quantity ☐ Type ☐ Residue ☐ Partial Rejection ☐ Full Rejection

18b. Alternate Facility (or Generator) Manifest Reference Number:
U.S. EPA ID Number
Facility's Phone:

18c. Signature of Alternate Facility (or Generator)
Month Day Year

19. Hazardous Waste Report Management Method Codes (i.e., codes for hazardous waste treatment, disposal, and recycling systems)

1.	2.	3.	4.

20. Designated Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of hazardous materials covered by the manifest except as noted in Item 18a
Printed/Typed Name: L C
Signature:
Month 7 Day 10 Year 25

EPA Form 8700-22 (Rev. 12-17) Previous editions are obsolete.

DESIGNATED FACILITY TO EPA's e-MANIFEST SYSTEM

Karl Dykman

From: Karl Dykman
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 1:13 PM
To: Karl Dykman
Subject: FW: Transformer leaks in laydown yard

IDDE issue resolution

From: Karl Dykman
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 8:52 AM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Cc: Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>; Brian Townsand <bptdrum1@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Transformer leaks in laydown yard

Good morning.
Fuels Inc. has removed 950 gallons of non-PCB oil from the three transformers that were leaking in the laydown yard.
The transformers themselves have been labeled "EMPTY, Oil Removed 3-31-26" and will be recycled by Emerald Transformers when we have the SF6 recovered from the gas-filled switches.
The quote we have from Emerald includes recycling of the switches along with the transformers.

The 950 gallons represent 19 – 20 drums of flammable waste that has been re-directed from the campus waste stream to a recycler that will supply road works in New Mexico as a part of their hot paving activities.
At approximately $200 per barrel for Clean Harbors to transport, plus the cost of containers, this is a significant reduction in our operational costs and quite appropriate given that Earth Day is in April.

The recovery is also part of our MS4 permit reporting requirement as a Minimum Control Measure in Section 5.2, Best Management Practice 5.2.3 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination.

Karl

Karl Dykman CSP
Env.Mgt.
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Managment
New Mexico State University
Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
(575) 646-3327

Karl Dykman

From: Karl Dykman
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 1:13 PM
To: Karl Dykman
Subject: FW: Transformer leaks in laydown yard

IDDE issue resolution

From: Karl Dykman
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2026 8:52 AM
To: Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>
Cc: Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>; Brian Townsand <bptdrum1@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Transformer leaks in laydown yard

Good morning.
Fuels Inc. has removed 950 gallons of non-PCB oil from the three transformers that were leaking in the laydown yard.
The transformers themselves have been labeled "EMPTY, Oil Removed 3-31-26" and will be recycled by Emerald Transformers when we have the SF6 recovered from the gas-filled switches.
The quote we have from Emerald includes recycling of the switches along with the transformers.

The 950 gallons represent 19 – 20 drums of flammable waste that has been re-directed from the campus waste stream to a recycler that will supply road works in New Mexico as a part of their hot paving activities.
At approximately $200 per barrel for Clean Harbors to transport, plus the cost of containers, this is a significant reduction in our operational costs and quite appropriate given that Earth Day is in April.

The recovery is also part of our MS4 permit reporting requirement as a Minimum Control Measure in Section 5.2, Best Management Practice 5.2.3 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination.

Karl

Karl Dykman CSP
Env.Mgt.
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Managment
New Mexico State University
Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
(575) 646-3327

HUDSON TECHNOLOGIES
Reclaiming the Future Together.

RefrigerantSide Service Work Order

LOCATION:	DATE:	JOB#:
Ontario, CA (CA01)	6/30/2026	ORD-10627-S9V9K6

SHIP TO AND / OR WHERE SERVICE RENDERED:

COMPANY:	ADDRESS:	CITY:
NMSU	1620 Standley dr.	Las Cruces

STATE:	ZIP:	CONTACT:	EMAIL:	PHONE NUMBER:
NM	88003	Karl Dykman	k3dykman@nmsu.edu	231 670-3914

INVOICE TO:

COMPANY:	ADDRESS:	CITY:
NMSU	1620 Standley dr.	Las Cruces

STATE:	ZIP:	CONTACT:	EMAIL:	PHONE NUMBER:
NM	88003	Karl Dykman	k3dykman@nmsu.edu	231 670-3914

SERVICE REPORT:

CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT:	MODEL:	SERIAL NUMBER:
CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT:	S5	RECOVERED
	S6	EMPTY
	S8	EMPTY
	S10	RECOVERED

SERVICE PERFORMED:	GAS TYPE:
RECOVERY	SF6

AHRI SAMPLE(S):

PRE-SAMPLE(S):	POST-SAMPLE(S):

CYLINDER INFORMATION:

SIZE:	QNTY:	SERIAL NUMBER:	TARE WEIGHT:	GROSS WEIGHT:	NET WEIGHT:
	1	15W226158		TBD	#VALUE!
	1			TBD	#VALUE!

CYLINDER(S) RETURNED TO SHOP: / CYLINDER(S) LEFT ON-SITE:

CYLINDER SIZE:	AMOUNT:	CYLINDER SIZE:	AMOUNT:
125	2 vhp		

DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED

Performed recovery of SF6 per agreed proposal and P0236256. Final weights will be determined when received at plant. Found two switchgears empty.

SERVICE TECHINICAN(S):	WORK COMPLETED:	DATE:
NEIL JAMES / TJ LYONS	YES	6/29/2026

CUSTOMER SIGNATURE:	PRINTED NAME:
X	KARL DYKMAN

Signed hereby authorizes all invoices generated by this work order and that all work was done to customer satisfaction

NOTES:

Karl Dykman

From: Andrew Kaczmarek
Sent: Wednesday, December 3, 2025 10:52 AM
To: Brian Townsand
Cc: Karl Dykman
Subject: Fw: Arroyo
Attachments: image000000.jpg; image000001.jpg; image000002.jpg; image000003.jpg

Please see below and attached, more improvements since last week, very nice! I think there is still an area farther South in need of some additional dirt too, but we'll let this settle in first. I will thank FS for sure!

Drew Kaczmarek, CHMM
Assistant Director
Environmental Health Safety & Risk Mgt.
New Mexico State University
P.O. Box 30001/MSC 3578, Las Cruces, NM 88003
575-646-5428

From: Neil Bohn <nbohn@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, December 3, 2025 9:44 AM
To: Polly Saenz <pwagner@nmsu.edu>; Andrew Kaczmarek <kaczmare@nmsu.edu>; Scott Eschenbrenner <sbrenner@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Fw: Arroyo

Good morning,

Structural finished up the erosion repairs to the arroyo.

Let me know if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Neil R Bohn
Executive Director of Operations
Facilities and Services
New Mexico State University
(575) 646-8026

From: Michael Herrera <mbherrer@nmsu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, December 3, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Dickie Apodaca <richapod@nmsu.edu>
Cc: Jose Loera <jloera@nmsu.edu>; Neil Bohn <nbohn@nmsu.edu>; Paul Ponce <ponce@nmsu.edu>; Michael Herrera <mbherrer@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Arroyo

Morning Dickie,

Attached are some pictures from the arroyo we looked at the other day. Take a look at it and let me know if I need to do anything else to it.

Karl Dykman

From: noreply@assetworks.hosting
Sent: Tuesday, December 2, 2025 9:05 AM
To: Karl Dykman
Subject: Customer Request: 415397

WARNING This email originated external to the NMSU email system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.

Greetings: KARL DYKMAN

RE:
Customer Request Number: 415397
Request Description: PLEASE PICK UP AND DISPOSE OF MATTRESS DUMPED IN TORTUGAS ARROYO. LOCATED APPROXIMATELY 50 YARDS NORTH OF SAM STEELE ROAD ON THE EAST SIDE
Status: APPROVED
Building: ZONE 2800
Location: GROUNDS

Customer Request 415397 has been converted into approved WO Number 26-007451 and has been assigned to the MOVING SERVICES shop.

Our goal is to make the initial visit on a routine work order within 3 days. If we are unable to meet this goal, you will be contacted and provided with a date of expected service.

If you have any questions regarding a Facilities & Services work order, please call the F&S work desk at 646-7114.

If you have any questions regarding a Housing work order, North area residents should call 646-1701 and South area residents should call 646-5393.

Thank You

|NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY|

Link To AiM
https://nam10.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fnmsu.assetworks.hosting%2Ffmax%2Fscreen%2FCRQ_VIEW%3FdocNo%3D415397&data=05%7C02%7Ck3dykman%40nmsu.edu%7Cc3368144280349da9b7e08de31bc7b27%7Ca3ec87a89fb84158ba8ff11bace1ebaa%7C1%7C0%7C639002882759459677%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJFbXB0eU1hcGkiOnRydWUsIlYiOiIwLjAuMDAwMCIsIlAiOiJXaW4zMiIsIkFOIjoiTWFpbCIsIldUIjoyfQ%3D%3D%7C0%7C%7C%7C&sdata=2lMArd9PGU1n6%2BKnfB0MTNL35eipPRxYd8aglGg8N1o%3D&reserved=0

EMERALD TRANSFORMER

Emerald Transformer is pleased to provide this proposal based on the scope of work and general conditions outlined below.

Emerald Transformer will provide transportation and disposal/recycling of transformers/ electrical equipment located at NM State University in Las Cruces, NM. Emerald will provide equipment to load and transport the units back to our Phoenix facility.

This will be the first phase of these pickups. Emerald is hoping to be able to fit these units on 3 trucks. The units to be picked up are outlined below:

NMSU transformer recycle inventory 8/20/2025

	Manufacturer	Serial #	kVA Rating	Gallons	Equipment Type	PCB Class
1	Allis Chalmers	2363192	25.0	60	1-phase pole mount	Non-PCB
2	Allis Chalmers	2363158	25.0	60	1-phase pole mount	Non-PCB
3	GE	H548914-67K	500	150	3 - pole mounts	Non-PCB
4	GE	H538916-67K	500	150	3 - pole mounts	Non-PCB
5	GE	H538914-67K	500	150	3 - pole mounts	Non-PCB
6	Westinghouse	78A312950	100/300	80	3 - pole mounts	Non-PCB
7	Westinghouse	78A312951	100/300	80	3 - pole mounts	Non-PCB
8	Westinghouse	78A312949	100/300	80	3 - pole mounts	Non-PCB
9	Westinghouse	61AL2023	50/150	35	3 - Pole Mounts	Non-PCB
10	Westinghouse	61AL1494	50/150	35	3 - Pole Mounts	Non-PCB
11	Westinghouse	61AK4684	50/150	35	3 - Pole Mounts	Non-PCB
12	Westinghouse	85A431173	333	90	Pole Mounts	Non-PCB
13	Westinghouse	85A431174	334	90	Pole Mounts	Non-PCB
14	Westinghouse	85A431175	335	90	Pole Mounts	Non-PCB
15	New Hammond	2257D911	112.5	229	Padmount	Non-PCB
16	Westinghouse	83JG982024	500	225	Padmount	Non-PCB
17	Balteau	POG-0337	750	263	Padmount	Non-PCB
18	Balteau	POG-0336	750	263	Padmount	Non-PCB
19	GE	P172234	300	175	Padmount	Non-PCB
20	Cooper	9937012464	300.0	229	Padmount	Non-PCB
21	T&R Electric	15599	150	150	Padmount	Non-PCB
22	ABB	01J345278	300	199	Padmount	Non-PCB
23	ABB	97J325055	225	146	Padmount	Non-PCB
24	Pauwels	92G48239	500	161	Padmount	Non-PCB

<u>CREDIT:</u> $1,500

Total credit is just for this load. Credit for future loads will be determined prior to pickup. Credit includes all costs incurred by Emerald to pickup and transport units.

ATTACHMENT 4

Municipal Storm Water Management

Contents

Question Number	BMP	Attachment Description
6D	6-5	MS4 Waste Disposal Procedures
6D	3-5	Solid Waste Collection Points and Pick-Up Schedule
6D	3-6	Ground Maintenance Litter and Debris Inspection Schedule

Question Number	BMP	Description
6D	6-5	MS4 Waste Disposal Procedures

MS4 Waste Disposal Procedures

GENERAL ADVISORY

These procedures were prepared in accordance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (Small MS4) No. NMR040000. The Small MS4 General Permit requires procedures to properly dispose of waste removed from a small MS4. Disposal of waste removed from the NMSU MS4 will be in accordance with federal, state, and local requirements.

WARNING!

- **DO NOT** touch an unknown substance or container; the substance or container must be considered potentially hazardous.
- The contents of a container, regardless of labeling or packaging, are considered unknown and potentially hazardous.
- If any odor is present, retreat to a safe distance that is upwind of the container or substance.
- Immediately contact your supervisor. Your supervisor will contact EH&S personnel for handling procedures.
- Describe the container/substance, location, any markings or warnings on the container, and the contents of the container (if visible or leaking).
- **DO NOT** leave the container/substance unattended or attempt to dispose of the container/substance without authorization.
- EH&S personnel will provide proper handling and disposal procedures after assessment of the container/substance.

WASTE	DISPOSAL PROCEDURES
Tires	▪ Handling and disposal of tires will be in accordance with New Mexico Administrative Code 20.9.20 on Recycling, Illegal Dumping, and Scrap Tire Management (*http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/swb/tires.htm*). ▪ Tires found in the NMSU MS4 will be transported to the used tire storage area at Fleet Maintenance for temporary storage. ▪ Tires will be stored on pallets or otherwise off the ground and will not be stored for more than one year. ▪ Tires will be disposed of by a NMED registered scrap tire hauler.
Trash, Domestic Waste, and Floatable Debris	▪ Trash, domestic waste, and floatable debris will be segregated from all "green waste." ▪ Trash, domestic waste, and debris will then be segregated as recyclables or solid waste. ▪ Recyclable materials include paper, plastic, cardboard, and metals. ▪ All recyclable materials will be disposed of at the NMSU recycling facility and not discarded as solid waste. ▪ Remaining trash and debris will be disposed of in solid waste dumpsters with lids. Dumpsters will be emptied by a commercial solid waste hauler at a regular interval that prevents overflowing.
Large Organic Debris *(shrubs, tree branches, etc…)*	▪ Organic debris is considered "green waste" and will be disposed of at the NMSU composting facility, and not discarded as solid waste (NOTE – tree branches and trunks too large to be chipped will be discarded as solid waste). ▪ Ensure all trash and non-biodegradable items have been removed prior to disposal at the NMSU composting facility. ▪ Large organic debris may be trimmed to make it more manageable during transport. ▪ Small pieces resulting from trimming should be disposed of as "Small Organic Debris."

MS4 Waste Disposal Procedures

WASTE	DISPOSAL PROCEDURES
Small Organic Debris *(grass clippings, leaves, etc…)*	▪ Small organic debris is considered "green waste." ▪ "Green waste" will be disposed of at the NMSU composting facility and not discarded as solid waste. ▪ Ensure all trash and non-biodegradable items have been removed prior to disposal at the NMSU composting facility. ▪ Small organic debris will not be washed into a MS4 storm drain or sanitary sewer drain. ▪ Dry sweeping and raking will be implemented to collect small organic debris for transport to the NMSU composting facility. ▪ Small organic debris will be covered during transport to prevent spillage back into the MS4.
Large Inert Debris *(rocks, concrete, etc…)*	▪ Structural Maintenance crew should be contacted for inert debris that is too large to be managed as trash. ▪ Large inert debris removed from the MS4 will be temporarily stored at the composting facility. ▪ Concrete will be separated and disposed of at the recycling facility. ▪ Large inert debris may be broken down to make it more manageable during transport. ▪ Small inert debris resulting from the breaking down of large organic debris will be disposed of as described below.
Small Inert Debris *(sediment, pebbles, street sweeping waste, etc…)*	▪ Small inert debris will not be washed into a MS4 storm drain or sanitary sewer floor drain. ▪ Street sweeping vehicles will be implemented to remove small inert debris along accessible, improved areas of the NMSU MS4. ▪ Where street sweeping vehicles are not feasible, dry sweeping and shovels will be implemented to collect small inert debris. ▪ Manually collected small inert debris will be covered during transport to prevent spillage back into the MS4. ▪ Small inert debris will be utilized to fill pot-holes in un-improved parking areas around the NMSU campus at the time it is removed from the MS4.
Manure and Animal Waste	▪ Manure and animal waste is not considered "green waste;" however, it will be disposed of at the NMSU composting facility. ▪ **DO NOT** touch manure and animal waste. ▪ Wear disposable latex (or similar) gloves at all times when removing manure and animal waste. ▪ Dry sweeping and shovels will be implemented to collect manure and animal waste for transport to the NMSU composting facility. ▪ Ensure all trash and non-biodegradable items have been removed prior to disposal at the NMSU composting facility.
Dead Animals	▪ **DO NOT** touch the animal. ▪ Wear disposable latex (or similar) gloves at all times when handling the animal. ▪ Use a shovel or similar hand tool for handling the animal. ▪ Place the animal in a heavy duty trash bag of suitable size to accommodate the animal and double bag. ▪ If the animal is too large for a trash bag, use a heavy duty, disposal plastic liner and wrap the animal. ▪ If the animal is too large to be moved via hand tools, contact your supervisor. ▪ Your supervisor will contact the appropriate department to acquire heavy machinery to move the animal. ▪ Dead animals will be disposed of as solid waste.

Note: NMSU removes ~50 pounds of material from the MS4 after a storm event.
Last year a total of ~200 pounds of material was removed.

Question Number	BMP	Description
6D	3-5	Solid Waste Collection Points and Pick-Up Schedule

Solid Waste Collection Points and Pick-Up Schedule

1. Number of outdoor trash receptacles maintained: 130
2. Number of solid waste dumpsters maintained: 77
3. NMSU's solid waste collection points and schedule attached.

Question Number	BMP	Description
6D	3-6	Ground Maintenance Litter and Debris Inspection Schedule

Dumpster Schedule 2018

Point	ID	Size (yd.)	Location	Pick-ups/Week
Point	101	4	Aggie Express Store	3 - M,W, F
Point	102	4	Vista del Monte ' 92 & ' 95	2 - M, Th
Point	103	4	Vista del Monte ' 92 & ' 95	2 - M, Th
Point	104	4	Vista del Monte ' 92 & ' 95	2 - M, Th
Point	105	4	Vista del Monte ' 92 & ' 95	2 - M, Th
Point	106	4	Cervantes Village A	2 - M, Th
Point	107	4	Cervantes Village B	2 - M, Th
Point	108	6	Cervantes Village C	2 - M, Th
Point	109	4	Cervantes Village D	2 - M, Th
Point	110	6	Cervantes Village E	2 - M, Th
Point	111	4	Cervantes Village F	2 - M, Th
Point	112	4	Cervantes Village G	2 - M, Th
Point	113	4	Cervantes Village H	2 - M, Th
Point	114	4	Cervantes Village J	2 - M, Th
Point	118	6	Chamisa	3 - M,W, F
Point	119	6	Chamisa	3 - M,W, F
Point	120	6	Chamisa	3- M, W, F
Point	121	6	Chamisa	3 - M,W, F
Point	122	6	Chamisa	3- M, W, F
Point	123	6	Chamisa	3 - M,W, F
Point	124	6	Garcia Hall	3- M, W, F
Point	125	6	Garcia Hall	3- M, W, F
Point	126	6	Garcia Hall	3- M, W, F
Point	127	6	Garcia Hall	3- M, W, F
Point	128	6	Juniper Hall	3- M, W, F
Point	130	6	Rhodes-Garett-Hamiel	2 - M, Th
Point	140	6	Pinon Hall	2- M. Th
Point	141	6	Pinon Hall	2- M. Th
Point	142	6	Pinon Hall	2 - M, Th
Point	143	6	Pinon Hall	2 - M, Th
Point	148	4	Golf Course Maintenance Shop	2 - M, Th
Point	149	8	Dona Ana Branch Comm. College	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	150	8	Dona Ana Branch Comm. College	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	151	6	Food Court	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	152	2	outhwest Technology Development I	1 - TH
Point	153	4	Delta Zeta / Zeta Tau Alpha	2 - M, Th
Point	154	4	Chi Amega	1 - M
Point	155	6	New Golf Club House	3 - M,W, F
Point	157	2	EPPWS (east of Golf Course)	1 - M
Point	158	4	Rodeo Arena (east of Golf Course)	1 - W
Point	159	6	BCOM	1 - T, F
Point	162	6	Barnes & Noble	6- M,T,W,TH,F,F
Point	202	6	Regents Row	3 - M,W,F
Point	201	4	Agriculture Engineering	3 - M,W,F

Point	203	4	Genesis Center	2 - M, Th
Point	204	2	J. Gordon Watts Entomology Lab	1 - M
Point	205	6	Police Station	2 - M, Th
Point	206	3	Animal Care Facility	1 - M
Point	207	4	Old Jornada Building	1 - M
Point	208	3	Theater Arts Scene Shop	2 - Tue, Th
Point	209	6	Swine Barn	1 - F
Point	210	4	Storage Units	1 - TH
Point	211	4	Central Heating Plant	1 - W
Point	213	8	Williams Hall	3 - M,W,F
Point	214	4	Williams Hall	2 - M, Th
Point	215	3	Academic Research	2 - M, Th
Point	216	6	Pete V Domenici	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	218	4	Engineering Complexes I & II	3 - M,W,F
Point	219	8	CSDAL / Skeen Hall	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	220	8	Wooten Hall / USDA	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	221	4	Equestrian Center	1 - Tue
Point	222	6	Weddell Drive/Chemistry bld	3 - M,W,F
Point	223	6	Foster Hall	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	224	2	Fire Department	2 - M, Th
Point	225	8	Health and Social Services	5- M,T,W,TH,F
Point	226	4	Pgel	1 -W
Point	227	2	Mechanic's Shop	1 - M
Point	228	6	O'Donnell	3 - M,W,F
Point	229	2	Horse Farm (Union Street)	1 - M
Point	230	4	NMDA	2 - M, Th
Point	232	6	Fabian Garcia	2 - M, Th
Point	233	6	Aggie Memorial Stadium	3 - M,W,F
Point	234	6	Aggie Memorial Stadium	3 - M,W,F
Point	235	8	Fulton Center	3 - M,W,F
Point	236	6	Warehouse	1 - F
Point	237	4	USGS	1 - W
Point	238	6	Jett Hall	3 - M,W,F

Point	159	Sutherland Village	138/Polycarts	1-M
Point	160	Tom Fort Village	87/Polycarts	1-M

Information from the NMSU Grounds Manager:

Grounds Department staff patrol the campus every Tuesday and Friday to perform general clean-up, which includes inspection for and clean-up of trash and debris. An estimated total of approximately 200 pounds are picked up every week by these crews. The total labor hours for this activity are estimated to be ~1500 hours for this July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024 reporting period.

ATTACHMENT 5

Long-Term (Post-Construction) Stormwater Measures

Contents

Question Number	BMP	Attachment Description
7A	5-1	LEED Silver Standards for Capital Improvement Projects
7A, 7D 7E, 7F	5-2	Engineering and Construction Design Guidelines
7D, 7E 7F	5-5	Stormwater Drainage Basin Map
7D, 7E 7F	5-5	Stormwater Infrastructure Inventory

Question Number	BMP	Description
7A	5-1	LEED Silver Standards for Capital Improvement Projects

State of New Mexico
Office of the Governor

Bill Richardson
Governor

EXECUTIVE ORDER 2006-001

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY EFFICIENT GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS FOR STATE BUILDINGS

WHEREAS, the State of New Mexico is committed to improving the health of its employees and its citizens, increasing the production and use of clean energy sources, reducing waste, conserving water, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and desires to empower sustainable economic development;

WHEREAS, the Federal Government through programs fostered within many of its key agencies, numerous State governments as well as municipalities across the U.S. have adopted high performance green building principles through the incorporation of the U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rating system into their building services;

WHEREAS, a recent study by the Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory completed the most definitive cost-benefit analysis of green buildings ever conducted and concluded that the financial benefits of green design are between $50 and $70 per square foot in a LEED building, more than 10 times the additional cost associated with building green. Additionally, the large positive impact on employee productivity and health gains suggests that green building has a cost-effective impact beyond just the utility bill savings;

WHEREAS, studies have indicated that student attendance and performance is higher in green school buildings;

WHEREAS, recognizing that a building's initial construction costs represents only 20-30 percent of the building's entire costs over its 30 to 40 year life, emphasis should be placed on the "life cycle costs" of a public building rather than solely on its initial capital costs; and

WHEREAS, the construction industry in the State of New Mexico represents a significant portion of our economy and a significant portion of the building industry is represented by small business and an increase in sustainable building practices will encourage and promote new and innovative small business development throughout the State.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Bill Richardson, Governor of the State of New Mexico, declare that the state adopt specific standards to implement and facilitate the use of high performance energy efficient green building practices for all state-funded existing and new buildings throughout the State of New Mexico.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all Executive Branch state agencies, including the Higher Education Department, adopt the U.S. Green Building Council's LEED™ rating system consistent with all applicable laws to achieve the following:

- New construction of public buildings in excess of 15,000 square feet and/or using over 50 kW peak electrical demand shall build to and achieve a minimum rating of "LEED™ Silver." In achieving its LEED™ rating, the project must achieve a minimum delivered energy performance standard of one half the U.S. energy consumption for that building type as defined by the U.S. Department of Energy.
- New construction and renovation projects of public buildings between 5,000-15,000 square feet in size shall achieve a minimum delivered energy performance standard of one half the U.S. energy consumption for that building type as defined by the U.S. Department of Energy.
- Renovations of public buildings in excess of 15,000 square feet and/or using over 50 kW peak electrical demand and comprising upgrades or replacement of two of the three major systems (HVAC, lighting, and plumbing), shall achieve a minimum rating of "LEED Silver" and a minimum delivered energy performance standard of one half the U.S. energy consumption for that building type as defined by the U.S. Department of Energy.
- All other new construction, renovations, repairs, and replacements of state buildings shall employ cost-effective, energy-efficient, green building practices to the maximum extent possible; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the General Services Department, in coordination with the Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, **the Construction Industries Division,** and the New Mexico Chapter of the U.S. Green Building Council, shall develop criteria and a workable process for implementing this system; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the General Services Department encourage private-sector building owners that lease to State agencies to comply with the same energy-efficiency performance standards required of State agencies in this Executive Order by offering preference points as determined by the Evaluation Committee for each lease RFP conducted under jurisdiction of the General Services Department; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) convene a "Public Schools Clean Energy Task Force" that shall be advisory in nature and shall make recommendations to implement aggressive energy efficiency measures in all existing school buildings and in the construction of all new schools and school renovations, including adopting the same energy efficiency standards established for executive branch agencies in this order. The Task Force shall also address the public schools' implementation of Executive Order 05-049, *Requiring the Increased Use of Renewable Fuels in New Mexico State Government.* The Task Force shall consist of representatives from EMNRD, Public Education Department, New Mexico Coalition of School Administrators, New Mexico School Boards Association, Public School Facilities Authority, Public Schools Capitol Outlay Task Force, and other members as appropriate. The Task Force shall report to the Governor by August 1, 2006 on its findings and recommendations; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Local Government Division of the Department of Finance and Administration, evaluate and develop recommendations to ensure that the siting of public buildings, including schools, minimizes transportation-related energy usage; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the Construction Industries Division (CID) and the Construction Industries Commission (CIC) pursue updating residential and commercial building codes to promote and encourage consumers to develop state-of-the-art cost-effective energy efficient buildings and, in cooperation with EMNRD, engage the active support and participation from the CID and CIC on green building outreach, training, and technical assistance efforts; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that all State agencies are encouraged to work cooperatively with one another to achieve the goals outlined in this executive order.

THIS ORDER supersedes any other previous orders, proclamations, or directives in conflict. This Executive Order shall take effect immediately and shall remain in effect until such time as the Governor rescinds it.

ATTEST:

REBECCA VIGIL-GIRON
SECRETARY OF STATE

DONE AT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE THIS 16TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2006

WITNESS MY HAND AND THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

BILL RICHARDSON
GOVERNOR

Question Number	BMP	Description
7A, 7D 7E, 7F	5-2	Engineering and Construction Design Guidelines

Design Guidelines, Studies, and Reports

Engineering and Construction Guidelines

- Volume1 – Design Procedures
- Volume2 – Div 1-26,28-32 (Table of Contents on Page 3)
- Volume3 – Div 27 – ICT – Communications
- Volume4 – Div 33 Utilities

Drawings

- NMSU Custodial Closet Drawing Guidelines
- NMSU Fire Protection Drawing Guidelines
- NMSU ICT Drawing Guidelines
- NMSU Utility Drawing Guidelines

Building Specifications

- NMSU Urban Drainage Criteria
- Section 100 General Conditions
- Section 200 Sewer Materials Specifications
- Section 300 Sewer Construction Specifications
- Section 400 Water Materials Specifications
- Section 500 Water Construction Specifications

Reports

- 2015 Bohannan and Huston, Inc – Farm Building Evaluation Report
- 2014 Huitt-Zollars – Analysis of Campus Fume Hoods
- 2014 Huitt-Zollars – Supplemental Info to Planning Report for NMSU Computer Data Center
- 2013 Parkhill Smith, & Cooper – Drainage Concerns

Office Information

Hours of Operation
8:00 AM - 5:00 PM

Physical Location
1530 Wells
Mailing Address
MSC 3545 / PO Box 30001
Las Cruces, NM 88003

Directions to Facilities & Services

AiM™
Click the logo to access the AiM System

- 2012 Holzman Moss Bottino Architecture – Visual Arts Study for Williams Hall
- 2012 Facilities and Services Assessment Alcalde / Artesia / Clayton / Clovis / Mora / Tucumcari
- 2009 Smith Group NMDA Conceptual Programming Study
- 2009 Whitney Smelser, PS – Control Surveying Report for NMSU, Main Campus
- 2010 Bohannan Huston – Branson Library Floor Loading Study
- 1998 Molzen Corbin Report – Sustainable Ag Science Center – Alcalde, NM

Other

- 2008 Campus Animal and Range Facilities

Policy and Other Links

NMSU Policy Manual
FS Procedure Manual

Other Resources

myNMSU Phonebook

Contact Us

Facilities and Services Work Order Phone: 575.646.7114
Email: AskFS@nmsu.edu

© 2016 New Mexico State University - Board of Regents

Question Number	BMP	Description
7D, 7E 7F	5-5	Stormwater Drainage Basin Map


UNIVERSITY AVENUE
COLLEGE AVENUE
STEWART STREET
WELLS STREET
ESPINA STREET
EL PASO ROAD
IH-10
SAM STEEL WAY
TORTUGAS ARROYO
MISSION BELL ARROYO
DHOLLA ARROYO
UNNAMED ARROYO
UNNAMED CHANNEL
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
LEGEND
NMSU PERMITTED MS4 BOUNDARY
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #1
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #2
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #3
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #4
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #5
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #6
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #7
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASIN #8
STORM WATER DRAINAGE PATH
MS4 STORM DRAIN
MS4 OUTFALL
Scale: 1" = 500'
0 250' 500'
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO
STORM WATER DRAINAGE BASINS
STORM WATER DRAINAGE MAP 2014

and

Question Number	BMP	Description
7D, 7E 7F	5-5	Stormwater Infrastructure Inventory

NMSU Storm Water Structures Inventory

Refer to the attached NMSU Storm Water Basin Map for basin designations.

Basin 1

DESCRIPTION

Topographically flat, agricultural lands at the western edge of the NMSU main campus. Bounded by University Avenue on the north, an Elephant Butte Irrigation District (EBID) irrigation canal on the east, College Avenue along the south, and an EBID drain on the west.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- Via rainfall

WATER EXITS BASIN

- Surface runoff is retained in agricultural fields. However, in significant precipitation events, the western portion of this basin may discharge to the EBID Park Drain west of College Avenue and south of University Street.

OUTFALLS

- None

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. None

Basin 2

DESCRIPTION

Topographically flat agricultural land in the western portion of the NMSU main campus. It is bound by University Avenue on the north, Union Avenue on the east, College Avenue along the south, and an EBID irrigation canal on the west. The City of Las Cruces Convention Center, and its associated detention basin, is contained within this NMSU storm water drainage basin.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- Via rainfall

WATER EXITS BASIN

- Surface runoff is retained in agricultural fields. Roof runoff on north side of the City of Las Cruces Convention Center (CC) flows to University Ave. Runoff from the parking lot south of the CC flows to a CC detention pond north of College Avenue.

OUTFALLS

- None

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. Detention pond (south of Convention Center and north of College Avenue).

Basin 3

DESCRIPTION

This basin is characterized by the central campus; it is a westward-sloping area with a high concentration of buildings and parking lots. There are numerous detention ponds allowing storage and infiltration of runoff.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- Via rainfall

WATER EXITS BASIN

- Various locations onto Stewart Street (and into Basin 5).
- Into a series of drop inlets along College Avenue (and into the City of Las Cruces MS4 via Outfall NM033).
- A portion of the roof drainage from the Educational Services Building flows into the College Arroyo (and into Basin 6) through outfalls NM005 through NM008.

OUTFALLS

- NM033, NM005, NM006, NM007, NM008.

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. Drop inlet at Educational Services building (east side) and exits at College Arroyo
2. 14" corrugated PVC culvert at SE corner of Piñon Hall. Exit at south Piñon Hall.
3. 12" concrete pipe culvert at SW Piñon Hall. Exit at west Piñon Hall.
4. 2 drop inlets at east Piñon Hall courtyard. Exit west of Building.
5. 1 drop inlet at W. Piñon Hall courtyard. Exit south of Building.
6. 2 x 14" corrugated PVC culverts at south Piñon Hall. Exit within courtyard.
7. Aggie Pond serving as detention for immediate vicinity
8. 1 drop inlet at SE Garcia Hall. Exit at SW Garcia Hall.
9. 1 drop inlet at east Corbett Center 1st floor entry stair
10. 1 drop inlet at Corbett Center Courtyard
11. 1 drop inlet at Corbett Center Amphitheatre, located on north exterior of building
12. 1 drop inlet at NE corner of Garcia Annex
13. 1 drop inlet at west Campus Health Center entrance
14. Detention pond at SE exterior of Health and Social Services building
15. 1 drop inlet at north exterior of Milton Hall. Exit at sump pit to the west.
16. 2 Drop inlets at NE Zuhl Library
17. 1 Drop inlet at SE Zuhl Library
18. 1 Drop inlet at NE Science Hall entrance
19. 1 drop inlet at Science Hall courtyard
20. 1 drop inlet at east Engineering Complex III (EC III) detention pond
21. Detention pond east of ECIII
22. 4 - 1' x 4'box culverts at NE ECIII. Exit at NW ECIII.

23. 4 drop inlets east of ECIII
24. 1 drop inlet at ECIII Courtyard. Exit north of Hernandez Hall.
25. 3 roof drain outlets north of Hernandez Hall
26. 1 drop inlet at sidewalk south of ECI. Exit at Stewart St.
27. 2 x 14" corrugated PVC culverts at SW corner of parking lot #59. Exit at drop inlet south of ECI.
28. 1 drop inlet at east Jett Hall
29. 2 drop inlets at east Jett Hall courtyard
30. 2 drop inlets at west Jett Hall courtyard
31. Gerald Thomas Hall pond serves as retention for immediate vicinity
32. Detention pond with rip rap east of Skeen Hall
33. 1 drop inlet at south parking lot of Tejada Building
34. 1 drop inlet at north parking lot of Sugarman Building
35. Detention pond at SW corner of College Drive and Knox Street
36. 3 x 12" drop inlets north of detention pond at College and Knox feeding into detention.
37. Detention pond north of Alumni Center
38. 42" concrete pipe culvert under College Drive at intersection of College and Union Dr.
39. Drop inlet at south College Drive near NMSU Police Station

Basin 4

DESCRIPTION

This narrow strip along the northern boundary of the NMSU main campus is characterized by roof and parking lot run-off that flows to the north and onto University Avenue.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- Rainfall (direct, and as roof drainage from some of the adjacent buildings)

WATER EXITS BASIN

- Roof drainage onto University Avenue (ex. Auxiliary Services Building)
- Parking lot drainage onto University Avenue
- Infiltration galley in the vicinity of the Center for the Arts

OUTFALLS

- None

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. Various curb cuts to facilitate local flow
2. 2 drop inlets north and south of the Center for the Arts building
3. 2 drop inlets east of the Health and Social Services building (within landscaped islands in parking lot number 14); the inlets convey water to parking lot number 11 (i.e., to the north and west).
4. Drop inlet at west side of Chemistry Building
5. Rock-lined detention swale on east side of the Center for the Arts building

Basin 5

DESCRIPTION

The area slopes westward and is the source of storm water conveyed via Stewart Avenue (the primary drainage pathway of this basin), and ultimately into the NMSU Regional Pond. This storm water basin is characterized by a predominance of athletic fields and campus residential housing (homes and apartments), with limited academic buildings. Doña Ana Community College is contained within this basin.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- Rainfall

WATER EXITS BASIN

- 48" concrete culvert at west side of the NMSU Regional Pond via manually operated valve (NM037). This culvert discharges to the City of Las Cruces MS4.

OUTFALLS

NM035 and NM036 (debris catchments overflowing into Regional Pond)

NM034 Inverse crown drop inlet from center of Stewart St.

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. Various curb cuts to facilitate local flow
2. Two drop inlets in the Chamisa dorm courtyards convey storm water to the west side of dorms (and discharge to grade) via subgrade PVC piping.
3. Drop inlet east of the Aggie X-Press store (corner of Standley Drive and Williams Avenue) conveys water to a detention pond north of store.
4. There are a series of corrugated metal culverts parallel to, and along the north side of, Sam Steel Road to convey flow westward, and ultimately into the NMSU Regional Pond. These are present from Doña Ana Community College, and westward.
5. 18" drop inlet at center of Stewart Street (near the Equine Education Center); conveys the Stewart Street flow into the NMSU Regional Pond.

Basin 6

DESCRIPTION

The Mission Bell, College, and Tortugas Arroyos each discharge into this basin. Storm water exits campus via the Tortugas Arroyo (under Interstate 10). This basin is characterized by a lack of development, and is primarily unpaved.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- College Arroyo (adjacent to the southwest corner of the University Avenue and Triviz Street intersection). Two 60" diameter concrete culverts.
- Tortugas Arroyo west of Triviz Road, north of Wells Street. Eight 10' x 10' box culverts.
- Runoff discharge from I-25, south of the Wells Street overpass. Flow is routed through a 24" diameter corrugated metal pipe.
- Mission Bell Arroyo via two 6 'x 4' concrete box culverts under I-25

WATER EXITS BASIN

- Via Tortugas Arroyo (under I-10)

OUTFALLS

- 1 Drop inlet at Triviz median at entry to campus. Exits at College Arroyo (Outfall NM001)
- 1 Drop inlet at east of Pan Am ticket office. Exits at College Arroyo (Outfall NM002).
- 1 Drop inlet at west of Pan Am ticket office. Exits at College Arroyo (Outfall NM003).
- 2 Strip inlets at south Pan Am Entrance. Exits at College Arroyo (Outfalls NM011 AND NM012).
- 2 Drop inlets at east Pan Am Entrance. Exits at College Arroyo (Outfall NM010).
- Roof drains at Fulton Center flow to College Arroyo via parking lot 33 (Outfalls NM013 – NM019).
- 3 drain pipes from the Arrowhead Research Center, General Dynamics complex and EBID retention overflow tower. Discharges to the Tortugas Arroyo (Outfalls NM0029, NM030 and NM031).
- 24" corrugated pipe (NM028a) drains Sisbarro Park swale into drop inlet (NM028)

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. 1 Drop inlet (into sump) at east Pan Am Ramp Entrance. Water pumped to grade, and into Tortugas arroyo via 24" corrugated pipe to NM024 downstream of Arrowhead Dr.
2. Three 48" diameter metal corrugated culverts conveying water NE to SW under Wells Street (immediately east of Arrow head Drive)
3. One drop inlet at SE corner of Wells Street and Arrowhead Drive (outfall NM028)
4. Ten 55" diameter concrete culverts conveying water (NE to SW) under Arrowhead Drive (immediately south of Wells Street).
5. Drop inlet strip on the north side of Wells Street near the intersection with the College Arroyo (east of the Greek Complex). Water is conveyed under Wells Street and southward to a small headwall structure. Note; the inlet is not at the low spot, and the subgrade pipe discharge point is partially buried. This structure does not function well.
6. One 36" diameter concrete culvert under Arrowhead Drive (flows east to west). Discharges into the Early College High School parking lot.
7. One 36" diameter concrete culvert under Arrowhead Drive (flows east to west). Discharges into the Mission Bell Arroyo (south of the Early College High School).

8. Six 36” diameter concrete culverts under Arrowhead Drive conveying the Mission Bell arroyo flow (east to west). Discharge is into the EBID Tortugas #2 Dam.
9. Two 36” concrete culverts under Arrowhead Drive conveying the flow from an unnamed arroyo east to west. Discharge is south of the Mission Bell arroyo discharge into the EBID Tortugas #2 Dam.
10. One 24” diameter corrugated PVC culvert under Arrowhead Drive (conveys flow southwest to northeast, towards the Tortugas Arroyo).

Basin 7

DESCRIPTION

Basin 7 contains the entrance and exit of Cholla Arroyo, as it flows through the NMSU campus, as well as an unnamed arroyo that contributes flow to the Cholla Arroyo. This relatively small basin is primarily undeveloped, and exhibits a primarily east-to-west flow pattern.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- Via rainfall, Cholla Arroyo, and on the east, drainage from Interstate 25.

WATER EXITS BASIN

- Via Cholla Arroyo.

OUTFALLS

- None

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. Consists of sheet flow and small drainage pathways towards the Cholla Arroyo, and/or culverts under Interstate 10 at west end of basin.

Basin 8

DESCRIPTION

This relatively small basin contains no named or significant arroyos, and is characterized by sheet flow and preferential drainage to a discharge point under Interstate 10.

WATER ENTERS BASIN

- Via rainfall and drainage from I-10 and I-25.

WATER EXITS BASIN

- Via an unnamed arroyo into five 24” concrete culverts under Interstate 10

OUTFALLS

- None

STORMWATER STRUCTURES

1. Five 24” concrete culverts under Interstate 10

ATTACHMENT 6

Public Notice of Annual Report